Clinical Research

Efficacy of low-energy selective laser trabeculoplasty on the treatment of primary open angle glaucoma

Li Xu^{1,2}, Ru-Jing Yu^{1,2}, Xu-Ming Ding^{1,2}, Mao Li^{1,2}, Yue Wu^{1,2}, Li Zhu^{1,2}, Di Chen^{1,2}, Cheng Peng^{1,2}, Chang-Juan Zeng^{1,2}, Wen-Yi Guo^{1,2}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200011, China

²Shanghai Key Laboratory of Orbital Diseases and Ocular Oncology, Shanghai 200011, China

Correspondence to: Wen-Yi Guo. Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine; Shanghai Key Laboratory of Orbital Diseases and Ocular Oncology, Shanghai 200011, China. wyguo@163.com

Received: 2018-12-18 Accepted: 2019-04-08

Abstract

• AIM: To investigate the efficacy of low-energy selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) on the treatment of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) patients.

• METHODS: Outpatients with POAG who underwent 360-degree SLT using an initial energy of 0.3 mJ (total energy of 30-40 mJ) were reviewed retrospectively from September 2011 to January 2018.

• RESULTS: Eight-six eyes of 44 POAG patients underwent 360-degree SLT using initial energy of 0.3 mJ and were followed up regularly. The total energy used was 32.5±2.5 mJ (23-40 mJ, 105±6 spots). The average pretreatment intraocular pressure (IOP) was 19.8±3.9 mm Hg. At 1, 3, 6mo, 1, and 2y, the post-SLT IOPs (mm Hg) were 16.9±3.3, 16.5±3.3, 17.1±3.4, 16.6±3.5, 16.5±2.8, which were significantly lower than that before treatment (P<0.001). The patients in the SLT success group were found to be younger than those in the SLT failure group. After SLT, 59 eyes that maintained pretreatment medications were defined as the drug retention group. The pre-SLT IOP was 20.1±3.7 mm Hg. At 1, 3, 6mo, 1, and 2y, the post-SLT IOPs (mm Hg) were 17.3±3.6, 16.6±3.5, 17.2±3.6, 16.9±3.8 and 16.5±2.9, respectively. Twenty-seven eyes that required reduced drugs were defined as the drug reduction group. The pre-SLT IOP was 19.2±4.4 mm Hg. At 1, 3, 6mo, 1, and 2y, the post-SLT IOPs (mm Hg) were 16.1±2.6, 16.5±3.1, 16.8±2.9, 16.0±2.6 and 16.3±2.4, respectively. Compared with the pretreatment IOPs, the post-SLT IOPs were significantly lower in drug retention group and drug reduction group. The patients in the drug reduction group were found to be younger than those in the drug retention group.

• CONCLUSION: Low-energy SLT is safe and effective for POAG patients during a 2-year follow-up. Younger POAG patients may obtain better results after low-energy SLT treatment.

• **KEYWORDS:** primary open angle glaucoma; selective laser trabeculoplasty; low energy

DOI:10.18240/ijo.2019.09.10

Citation: Xu L, Yu RJ, Ding XM, Li M, Wu Y, Zhu L, Chen D, Peng C, Zeng CJ, Guo WY. Efficacy of low-energy selective laser trabeculoplasty on the treatment of primary open angle glaucoma. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2019;12(9):1432-1437

INTRODUCTION

P rimary open angle glaucoma (POAG) is a chronic optic neuropathy, that is usually associated with increased intraocular pressure (IOP) and leads to progressive loss of retinal ganglion cells and the optic nerve fiber layer^[1-2]. The elevation of IOP is caused by increased production of aqueous humor and/or the blockage of the trabecular meshwork (TM) region where the aqueous humor drains out.

In POAG, elevated IOP is the major and the only modifiable risk factor for the development and progression of glaucoma. The progression of POAG can be prevented by lowering the IOP^[3]. The IOP reduction management options for POAG include medications, laser treatments, and anti-glaucoma surgery^[3]. Anti-glaucoma medication is often considered as an initial management of POAG, and prostaglandin analogs (PGAs) have been reported to be widely used^[4]. However, using too much anti-glaucoma medication may bring about side effects and may reduce compliance with the treatment^[5-7]. Selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) was first described in 1995 by Latina and Park^[8]. Based on the concept of selective photothermolysis, SLT utilizes a laser to target the pigmented TM cells selectively without damaging the neighboring nonpigmented cells or structures of the TM^[8-10]. SLT, which is usually performed with a 532-nm Nd:YAG laser, has been reported to be safe, effective and repeatable for IOP lowering treatment in POAG patients^[11-15].

 Int J Ophthalmol,
 Vol. 12,
 No. 9,
 Sep.18,
 2019
 www.ijo.cn

 Tel:
 8629-82245172
 8629-82210956
 Email:
 ijopress@163.com

In the traditional procedure for SLT, the energy should be adjusted at different zones of the angle to match the amount of pigmentation. As the operator may attempt to do this several times during the adjustment, some areas of TM may receive extra laser energy. In this study, we used a low and stable energy setting to simplify the laser procedure of SLT. We hypothesized that low-energy SLT could be safe, effective and convenient in Chinese POAG patients. Furthermore, we investigated the relationship between the efficacy of SLT and the baseline characteristics of patients to determine whether we could predict the outcome.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval Outpatients with POAG who underwent SLT were reviewed retrospectively at the Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine from September 2011 to January 2018. It was certified that during this research, all applicable institutional regulations concerning the ethical use of human subjects were followed. All examinations, follow-up and treatments were performed by the same ophthalmologist (Dr. Guo WY). All the patients signed written informed consent. The participants did not receive any stipend. Diagnosis and Outcome Measures The patients were included if they met the following criteria: age ≥ 18 y, an elevation of IOP (>21 mm Hg) without medication, glaucomatous optic nerve damage [enlargement of cup-to-disc ratio (C/D) >0.5 or difference in the C/D>0.2] and visual field defect (MD< -1 dB). The exclusion criteria were a history of ocular trauma or intraocular surgery and repeated SLT, additional glaucoma surgery or drug at any point of follow-up.

Detailed ophthalmic examinations including IOP measurement, gonioscopy, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, and funduscopic examination were performed before SLT. A systemic and ocular medical history was also recorded for each patient. After laser treatment, the patients were seen for follow-up regularly. IOP, C/D and complications were observed at each follow-up time point. IOP was the main outcome parameter and was compared to the pretreatment IOP. All the IOPs in this study were measured using Goldmann applanation tonometry immediately after topical anesthesia by 0.4% oxybuprocain.

Laser Procedure SLT was performed with a Latina goniolens and a q-switched frequency-doubled 532 nm Nd:YAG laser (Ellex SoloTM, Ellex Medical Pty. Ltd., Adelaide, SA, Australia). Before treatment, one drop of 0.4% oxybuprocain was applied to each eye. A fixed spot size of 400 μ m and pulse duration of 3ns were implemented. Nonoverlapping 87-120 spots were applied to 360 degrees of the angle at approximately mid-height of the TM. The initial energy level was set at 0.3 mJ, and the energy level was stable during the treatment. The total number of spots and total amount of energy used for

Table 1 Baseline (pretreatment) demographic and clinical data

Tuble I Busenne (pretreatment) demographie and emitear data				
Pretreatment findings	SLT (n=86)			
Age of SLT (y), median (IQR)	38.31 (31.98, 47.73)			
Sex				
Male	68 (35 patients)			
Female	18 (9 patients)			
Follow-up duration (mo), median (IQR)	29.54 (22.11, 45.13)			
IOP (mm Hg), mean±SD	19.8±3.9			
C/D, median (IQR)	0.80 (0.70, 0.90)			
Energy (mJ), mean±SD	32.5±2.5			
Number of pretreatment glaucoma medications, mean±SD	1.5 ± 0.7			

each eye were recorded. Postoperative medications after SLT included pranoprofen eye drops 4 times daily for one week. After SLT, the patients were followed up regularly (1, 3, 6mo, 1, and 2y). Patients' pretreatment prescriptions for glaucoma were modified at follow-up visits according to their IOP measurements when necessary.

The definitions of SLT success were: IOP ≤ 21 mm Hg combined with an IOP decrease $\geq 20\%$ without a change in glaucoma medications or IOP ≤ 21 mm Hg combined with a reduction of medications^[13]. The definitions of SLT failure were: IOP ≥ 21 mm Hg or IOP decrease < 20% without a change in glaucoma medications. After SLT, the eyes that maintained pre-SLT medications were defined as the drug retention group, and the eyes that reduced medications were defined as the drug reduction group.

Statistical Analysis All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Version 22.0, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). All the data were summarized using means and standard deviations (SD) or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR). Categorical data were analyzed using the Chisquare test, and values in the drug reduction group and drug retention group were compared using an independent samples *t*-test. The surgical success rate was calculated with Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis. A *P* value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Low-energy SLT was performed on 86 eyes from 44 POAG patients (35 males and 9 females) included in this study. Prior to SLT, all the eyes were being treated with glaucoma medications (1 to 3 drugs). The total energy used was 32.5 ± 2.5 mJ (23-40 mJ, 105 ± 6 spots). The median age of SLT treatment was 38.31y. The average number of pretreatment medications was 1.5 ± 0.7 . The average pretreatment IOP was 19.8 ± 3.9 mm Hg (Table 1).

Eighty eyes were followed up for longer than 1y, and 64 eyes were followed up for more than 2y. The average pretreatment IOP was 19.8 ± 3.9 mm Hg (Table 1). At 1, 3, 6mo, 1, and

Efficacy of low-energy SLT on POAG treatment

Table 2 Evaluation of IOP and cumulative proportion of SLT success

Parameters	Pre-SLT	1mo	3mo	6mo	1y	2у
Eyes (n)	86	86	86	86	80	64
IOP (mm Hg)	19.8±3.9	16.9±3.3	16.5±3.3	17.1±3.4	16.6±3.5	16.5±2.8
Cumulative proportion of SLT success (%)	/	80.2	77.9	73.3	61.7	55.2
Р		< 0.001 ^a	< 0.001ª	< 0.001 ^a	< 0.001 ^a	<0.001 ^a

^aIndependent samples *t*-test; SLT: Selective laser trabeculoplasty.

SLT success (n=50)	SLT failure (<i>n</i> =36)	lure (<i>n</i> =36) <i>P</i>		
37.67 (31.62, 43.88)	41.28 (32.15, 55.53)	0.034 ^a		
40	28	0.803 ^b		
10	8			
28.31 (18.87, 45.86)	33.42 (23.16, 44.74)	0.705 ^ª		
20.4±3.9	19.1±3.9	0.120ª		
0.85 (0.70, 0.90)	0.80 (0.70, 0.90)	0.793ª		
$1.7{\pm}0.8$	1.3±0.5	0.013 ^a		
	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 10\\ 28.31 \ (18.87, 45.86)\\ 20.4 \pm 3.9\\ 0.85 \ (0.70, 0.90)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		

^aIndependent samples *t*-test; ^bChi-square test. SLT: Selective laser trabeculoplasty. IQR: Interquartile ranges. C/D: Cup-to-disc ratio.

2y, the post-SLT IOPs were 16.9 ± 3.3 , 16.5 ± 3.3 , 17.1 ± 3.4 , 16.6±3.5, 16.5±2.8 mm Hg, respectively, which were significantly lower than those before treatment (P < 0.001; Table 2), and the cumulative proportion of SLT success rates were 80.2%, 77.9%, 73.3%, 61.7% and 55.2%, respectively (Table 2, Figure 1). During the 2-year follow-up, 50 eyes were defined as an SLT success and 36 eyes were defined as an SLT failure until the latest follow-up. Pretreatment data were collected for the SLT success group and SLT failure group (Table 3). The patients in the SLT success group were found to be significantly younger than those in the SLT failure group (P < 0.05). The pretreatment IOP of the SLT success group was slightly higher than that of the SLT failure group (20.4±3.9 mm Hg vs 19.1±3.9 mm Hg, P=0.120), but the IOP value did not have a significant effect on the success of the procedure. The number of pretreatment medications was higher in the SLT success group (P < 0.05). Other factors, such as sex and follow-up duration were not found to be associated with a higher risk of SLT failure. Transiently blurred vision was found in all cases after SLT. No severe complications, such as hyphema, ocular inflammation, suprachoroidal hemorrhage, choroidal effusion, and retinal detachment, were observed in any of these patients.

After SLT, 59 eyes maintained the pre-SLT medications and were defined as the drug retention group, and 27 eyes required reduced drugs and were defined as the drug reduction group. In the drug retention group, the pre-SLT IOP was 20.1 ± 3.7 mm Hg. At 1, 3, 6mo, 1, and 2y, the post-SLT IOPs were 17.3 ± 3.6 , 16.6 ± 3.5 , 17.2 ± 3.6 , 16.9 ± 3.8 and 16.5 ± 2.9 mm Hg,



Figure 1 A graph revealing the cumulative success rate in SLT using Kaplan-Meier method.

respectively. The post-SLT IOPs at 1, 3, 6mo, 1, and 2y were significantly lower than the pre-SLT IOP (P<0.00; Table 4). In the drug reduction group, the pre-SLT IOP was 19.2±4.4 mm Hg. At 1, 3, 6mo, 1, and 2y, the post-SLT IOPs were 16.1±2.6, 16.5±3.1, 16.8±2.9, 16.0±2.6 and 16.3±2.4 mm Hg, respectively. The post-SLT IOPs at 1, 3, 6mo, 1, and 2y were significantly lower than pre-SLT IOP (P<0.05; Table 4). Pretreatment data were collected for the drug reduction group and drug retention group (Table 5). The drug retention group had the same mean number of medications pre- and post-SLT treatment (1.4±0.5), while in the drug reduction group, the

Int J Ophthalmol, Vol. 12, No. 9, Sep.18, 2019 www.ijo.cn Tel: 8629-82245172 8629-82210956 Email: ijopress@163.com

Table 4 Evaluation of IOP after SLT (drug retention group and drug reduction group)					
Pre-SLT	1mo	3mo	6mo	1y	2y
27	27	27	27	23	19
19.2±4.4	16.1±2.6	16.5±3.1	16.8±2.9	16.0±2.6	16.3±2.4
/	0.001 ^a	0.005^{a}	0.032 ^a	0.020^{a}	0.018 ^a
59	59	59	59	57	45
20.1±3.7	17.3±3.6	16.6±3.5	17.2±3.6	16.9±3.8	16.5±2.9
/	<0.001ª	<0.001 ^a	<0.001 ^a	<0.001 ^a	<0.001 ^a
	Pre-SLT 27 19.2±4.4 / 59	Pre-SLT 1mo 27 27 19.2±4.4 16.1±2.6 / 0.001 ^a 59 59 20.1±3.7 17.3±3.6	Pre-SLT 1mo 3mo 27 27 27 19.2±4.4 16.1±2.6 16.5±3.1 / 0.001 ^a 0.005 ^a 59 59 59 20.1±3.7 17.3±3.6 16.6±3.5	Pre-SLT Imo 3mo 6mo 27 27 27 27 19.2 \pm 4.4 16.1 \pm 2.6 16.5 \pm 3.1 16.8 \pm 2.9 / 0.001 ^a 0.005 ^a 0.032 ^a 59 59 59 59 20.1 \pm 3.7 17.3 \pm 3.6 16.6 \pm 3.5 17.2 \pm 3.6	Pre-SLT1mo3mo6mo1y272727272319.2 ± 4.4 16.1 ± 2.6 16.5 ± 3.1 16.8 ± 2.9 16.0 ± 2.6 /0.001a0.005a0.032a0.020a595959595720.1 ± 3.7 17.3 ± 3.6 16.6 ± 3.5 17.2 ± 3.6 16.9 ± 3.8

Table 4 Evaluation of IOP after SL	(drug retention group	and drug reduction group)

^aPaired-samples *t*-test.

Table 5 Comparison between	n baseline characteristics and	l clinical outcome in drug	reduction grou	p to drug retention grou	up

Pretreatment findings	Drug reduction (<i>n</i> =27)	Drug retention (<i>n</i> =59)	Р
Age of SLT (y), median (IQR)	35.14 (29.79, 42.39)	41.28 (32.88, 51.93)	0.001 ^a
Sex			
Male	19	49	0.180 ^b
Female	8	10	
Follow-up duration (mo), median (IQR)	29.54 (18.88, 47.43)	27.86 (22.30, 44.74)	0.527^{a}
IOP (mm Hg), mean±SD	19.2±4.4	20.1±3.7	0.362ª
C/D, median (IQR)	0.80 (0.70, 0.90)	0.80 (0.80, 0.90)	0.194 ^a
Number of pretreatment glaucoma medications (mean±SD)	1.9±0.9	1.4±0.5	0.010 ^a

^aIndependent samples *t*-test; ^bChi-square test.

mean number of medications was 1.9±0.9 pre-SLT treatment and 0.8±0.8 post-SLT treatment. The patients in the drug reduction group were found to be significantly younger than those in the drug retention group (P < 0.01), but the pre-SLT IOP value did not show a significant difference between these two groups. Other factors, such as sex and follow-up duration were also not found to have a significant difference between the two groups.

DISCUSSION

Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness in the world^[16]. Because the drainage pathway of the TM region is blocked, high pressure builds up in the eye and causes optic nerve damage, leading to chronic vision loss in patients with POAG.

As mentioned, the progression of POAG can be prevented by lowering the IOP^[3]. A laser beam is applied to burn out some areas of the TM tissue near the base of the iris^[17]. This can increase the efficiency of fluid outflow. Laser trabeculoplasty is used in the treatment of POAG. Argon laser trabeculoplasty (ALT) uses an argon laser, and SLT uses an Nd:YAG laser. As the power of the Nd:YAG laser is much lower than that of the argon laser and the Nd:YAG laser can selectively target melanocytes, SLT usually causes less thermal damage in the TM than ALT^[18-19] and has been used more widely.

As SLT is widely used in the treatment of POAG, the efficacy of SLT was recently reported in several studies. It was reported to be safe and effective for the treatment of POAG. In 2017, Aptel *et al*^[20] reported that SLT treatment could reduce the</sup>absolute IOP value but did not modify the circadian rhythm of IOP in French POAG patients during a 6-months follow-up after a complete wash-out of the medical treatment. The initial energy level of SLT in this study was set at 0.7 mJ^[20]. The pretreatment IOP was 22.1±8.4 mm Hg, and after SLT, IOP significantly decreased by 3.4 mm Hg (14.9%, P=0.041) at 1mo and 1.9 mm Hg (8.1%, P=0.044) at 6mo^[20]. In our study, the cases in drug retention group received the same medication before and after SLT. Among them, the pre-SLT IOP was 20.1±3.7 mm Hg, and after SLT, the IOP was 17.3±3.6 mm Hg at 1mo (P<0.001) and 17.2±3.6 mm Hg at 6mo (P<0.001). Pillunat et al^[21] reported that, using the initial energy of 0.8 mJ, SLT could control the IOP of German POAG patients within 3mo when the glaucoma medications were not changed; IOP was significantly reduced from 16.0±5.4 to 12.8±4.0 mm Hg (P=0.001). However, SLT did not induce any pharmacological changes affecting ocular blood flow as topical IOP-lowering medication might do. In our study, the IOP was significantly

reduced from 20.1±3.7 mm Hg to 16.6±3.5 mm Hg at 3mo in the drug retention group ($P \le 0.001$). Pehkonen and Välimäki^[22] reported the effect of 270-degree SLT on POAG patients. The success of SLT was defined as an IOP reduction of 20% or more in the pretreatment IOP without additional glaucoma procedures, including medications, laser or surgery. The total energy used was 45±10.6 mJ and the success rate at 6mo after SLT was 50%^[22]. In our study, the total energy used was 32.5±2.5 mJ and the success rate at 6mo was 73.3%, which was higher than that reported. As POAG is more prevalent in the United States and European countries than in Asia, fewer studies have assessed the efficacy of SLT in Asian POAG patients. Shibata et al^[23] compared 180-degree SLT with 360-degree SLT in Japanese patients using the energy of 0.8-1.4 mJ. The total energy used was 73±29 mJ and 125±30 mJ in 180-degree and 360-degree SLT, respectively. The success of SLT was also defined as an IOP reduction of greater than or equal to 20% without additional glaucoma procedures. In the cases that received 360-degree SLT, the success rate was 46% at 1y and 29% at 2y. In the 180-degree SLT cases, the success rate was 25% at 1y and 22% at $2y^{[23]}$. In 2015, a cohort study in Hong Kong reported optimal SLT energy for maximal IOP reduction in open-angle glaucoma. It showed that a total energy between 214.6 and 234.9 mJ significantly decreased the IOP>25% with an optimal total energy of 226.1 mJ^[24]. In our study, the success rates at 1 and 2y were 61.7% and 55.2%, respectively, which were higher than reported success rates for 360-degree and 180-degree SLT. As our total energy was much lower than the energies reported, our procedure of low-energy SLT was also effective for POAG patients.

Traditionally, the initial energy of SLT was set at 0.7-0.8 mJ, and then adjusted according to the response until champagne bubbles formed. At this point, the laser energy was reduced by 0.1 mJ for the treatment. The energy level was further adjusted at different zones of the angle to match the amount of pigmentation in different areas. The operator may attempt several times to find the suitable energy of SLT at every different area of the angle, which could be time-consuming and could introduce extra damage to the TM. In our study, we set a lower initial laser energy level at 0.3 mJ to investigate the effect and safety of SLT on the treatment of Chinese POAG patients. As the energy level was stable during the treatment, the time duration of SLT was reduced. Our results showed well-controlled IOP after low-energy SLT within 2y. The simplified laser procedure may explain the high success rate of low-energy SLT. No severe complications were observed in any of the patients in our study. This indicated the safety of low-energy SLT (initial energy at 0.3 mJ) for POAG patients. In POAG, anti-glaucoma medication is often considered

as an initial management^[3]. However, every anti-glaucoma

medication may have local and systemic side effects. For example, PGAs may cause conjunctival hyperemia, elongation, and iris darkening; they also have abortive potential, which is their most dangerous side effect^[5]. In addition, using too much anti-glaucoma medication may reduce the compliance with the treatment. In our study, all eyes were treated with medications (1 to 3 drugs) before SLT treatment, and the average number of pretreatment medications was 1.5 ± 0.7 . After SLT treatment, the drug treatment of 27 eyes was reduced and the IOPs were lower than those before treatment. However, the post-SLT IOPs in the drug retention group were significantly lower than those before treatment. These findings demonstrate the effectiveness of low-energy SLT.

In our study, patients in the SLT success group and in the drug reduction group were younger than those in the SLT failure group and in the drug retention group, respectively. Lower pretreatment IOP was found in the drug reduction group than that of drug retention group. This indicates that the cases at earlier stages of POAG may obtain better results after low-energy SLT treatment. As POAG is often painless and is only indicated by progressive visual field loss and optic nerve damage (increased C/D on fundus examination), early diagnosis of POAG is difficult and thus indicates the importance of regular ophthalmic examination.

A limitation of this study is the lack of a control group that underwent a standard SLT with high and variable energy.

In summary, our study showed good control of IOP after low-energy SLT treatment in POAG patients. Additionally, this procedure was safe for the patients. As nearly half of the patients included received follow-up for less than 2y, further studies and investigations are needed to validate the long-term effect of SLT on POAG patients. Younger patients were more likely to experience SLT success and to reduce anti-glaucoma medication after low-energy SLT. Further studies should be performed to investigate the mechanisms that lead to better results of SLT in young patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foundations: Supported by National Nature Science Fundation (No.81670845), Research Foundation of Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (No.14411960600); The Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai (No.17DZ2260100).

Conflicts of Interest: Xu L, None; Yu RJ, None; Ding XM, None; Li M, None; Wu Y, None; Zhu L, None; Chen D, None; Peng C, None; Zeng CJ, None; Guo WY, None. REFERENCES

1 Elbendary AM, Abd El-Latef MH, Elsorogy HI, Enaam KM. Diagnostic accuracy of ganglion cell complex substructures in different stages of primary open-angle glaucoma. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2017; 52(4):355-360.

Int J Ophthalmol, Vol. 12, No. 9, Sep.18, 2019 www.ijo.cn Tel: 8629-82245172 8629-82210956 Email: ijopress@163.com

2 Elbendary AM, Mohamed Helal R. Discriminating ability of spectral domain optical coherence tomography in different stages of glaucoma. *Saudi J Ophthalmol* 2013;27(1):19-24.

3 Heijl A, Leske MC, Bengtsson B, Hyman L, Bengtsson B, Hussein M; Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial Group. Reduction of intraocular pressure and glaucoma progression: results from the Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2002;120(10):1268-1279.

4 Larsson LI, Mishima HK, Takamatsu M, Orzalesi N, Rossetti L. The effect of latanoprost on circadian intraocular pressure. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2002;47(Suppl 1):S90-S96.

5 Cracknell KP, Grierson I. Prostaglandin analogues in the anterior eye: their pressure lowering action and side effects. *Exp Eye Res* 2009;88(4):786-791.

6 Taira CA, Carranza A, Mayer M, Di Verniero C, Opezzo JA, Höcht C. Therapeutic implications of beta-adrenergic receptor pharmacodynamic properties. *Curr Clin Pharmacol* 2008;3(3):174-184.

7 Lui MH, Lam JC, Kwong YL, Wong TS, Cheung PL, Lai SS, Yuen PL, Lam WY, Chan HY, Wong YF, Lai JS, Shih KC. A cross-sectional study on compliance with topical glaucoma medication and its associated socioeconomic burden for a Chinese population. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2017;10(2):293-299.

8 Latina MA, Park C. Selective targeting of trabecular meshwork cells: *in vitro* studies of pulsed and CW laser interactions. *Exp Eye Res* 1995;60(4):359-371.

9 Cvenkel B, Hvala A, Drnovsek-Olup B. Acute ultrastructural changes of the trabecular meshwork after selective laser trabeculoplasty and low power argon laser trabeculoplasty. *Lasers Surg Med* 2003;33(3):204-208.

10 Alvarado JA, Katz LJ, Trivedi S, Shifera AS. Monocyte modulation of aqueous outflow and recruitment to the trabecular meshwork following selective laser trabeculoplasty. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2010;128(6):731-737.

11 Lee JW, Wong MO, Wong RL, Lai JS. Correlation of intraocular pressure between both eyes after bilateral selective laser trabeculoplasty in open-angle glaucoma. *J Glaucoma* 2016;25(3):e248-e252.

12 Kerr NM, Lew HR, Skalicky SE. Selective laser trabeculoplasty reduces intraocular pressure peak in response to the water drinking test. *J Glaucoma* 2016;25(9):727-731.

13 Francis BA, Loewen N, Hong B, Dustin L, Kaplowitz K, Kinast R, Bacharach J, Radhakrishnan S, Iwach A, Rudavska L, Ichhpujani P, Katz LJ. Repeatability of selective laser trabeculoplasty for open-angle glaucoma. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2016;16:128.

14 Zhang HY, Yang YF, Xu JG, Yu MB. Selective laser trabeculoplasty in treating post-trabeculectomy advanced primary open-angle glaucoma. *Exp Ther Med* 2016;11(3):1090-1094.

15 Polat J, Grantham L, Mitchell K, Realini T. Repeatability of selective laser trabeculoplasty. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2016;100(10):1437-1441.

16 Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Etya'ale D, Kocur I, Pararajasegaram R, Pokharel GP, Mariotti SP. Global data on visual impairment in the year 2002. *Bull World Health Organ* 2004;82(11):844-851.

17 Francis BA, Winarko J. Laser trabeculoplasty in the treatment of openangle glaucoma. *Int Ophthalmol Clin* 2011;51(3):165-177.

18 Wang W, He M, Zhou MW, Zhang XL. Selective laser trabeculoplasty versus argon laser trabeculoplasty in patients with open-angle glaucoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2013;8(12):e84270.

19 Zhao JC, Grosskreutz CL, Pasquale LR. Argon versus selective laser trabeculoplasty in the treatment of open angle glaucoma. *Int Ophthalmol Clin* 2005;45(4):97-106.

20 Aptel F, Musson C, Zhou T, Lesoin A, Chiquet C. 24-hour intraocular pressure rhythm in patients with untreated primary open angle glaucoma and effects of selective laser trabeculoplasty. *J Glaucoma* 2017;26(3): 272-277.

21 Pillunat KR, Spoerl E, Terai N, Pillunat LE. Effect of selective laser trabeculoplasty on ocular haemodynamics in primary open-angle glaucoma. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2017;95(4):374-377.

22 Pehkonen PT, Välimäki JO. The outcome of 270-degree selective laser trabeculoplasty. *J Ophthalmol* 2012;2012:313616.

23 Shibata M, Sugiyama T, Ishida O, Ueki M, Kojima S, Okuda T, Ikeda T. Clinical results of selective laser trabeculoplasty in open-angle glaucoma in Japanese eyes: comparison of 180 degree with 360 degree SLT. *J Glaucoma* 2012;21(1):17-21.

24 Lee JW, Wong MO, Liu CC, Lai JS. Optimal selective laser trabeculoplasty energy for maximal intraocular pressure reduction in open-angle glaucoma. *J Glaucoma* 2015;24(5):e128-e131.