· Meta-Analysis ·

A Meta – analysis on the effect of ocular massage in patients after glaucoma filtering surgery

Li Zhang^{1,2}, Xu-Ying Li^{1,2}, Xin Wei^{1,2}

引用:张粒,李絮莹,魏欣. 眼球按摩对青光眼滤过术后疗效影响的 Meta 分析. 国际眼科杂志 2021;21(8):1319-1325

Foundation items: National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82070954); The Applied Basic Research Programs of Science and Technology Commission Foundation of Sichuan Province (No. 2019YJ0016); The Innovative Spark Grant of Sichuan University (No.2018SCUH0062); The Clinical Research Incubation Project of West China Hospital, Sichuan University (No.2021HXFH057)

¹Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, Sichuan Province, China

²Department of Ophthalmology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan Province, China

Correspondence to: Xin Wei. Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, Sichuan Province, China; Department of Ophthalmology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan Province, China. mseng1121@126.com

Received: 2020-06-30 Accepted: 2020-10-15

眼球按摩对青光眼滤过术后疗效影响的 Meta 分析

张 粒^{1,2},李絮莹^{1,2},魏 欣^{1,2}

基金项目:国家自然科学基金面上项目(No.82070954);四川省 科技计划项目(No.2019YJ0016);四川大学创新火花项目(No. 2018SCUH0062);四川大学华西医院临床研究孵化项目(No. 2021HXFH057)

(作者单位:¹610065 中国四川省成都市,四川大学;²610041 中 国四川省成都市,四川大学华西医院眼科)

作者简介:张粒,四川大学在读硕士研究生,研究方向:青光眼。 通讯作者:魏欣,毕业于四川大学,博士,副教授,硕士研究生导师,研究方向:青光眼.mseng1121@126.com

摘要

目的:分析眼球按摩对青光眼滤过术后疗效的影响。

方法:计算机检索 CNKI, VIP, CBM 和万方数据库中关于 眼球按摩对青光眼滤过术后疗效(眼压、功能性滤过泡形 成、手术成功率)影响的临床随机对照试验(RCTs)。文献 发表时间不限。按照纳入与排除标准筛选文献、提取资 料、质量评价后,使用 RevMan5.3 软件进行 Meta 分析。

结果:共纳入 20 篇文献,共 1750 例,1757 眼,纳入文献中 效应量与术后眼压(IOP) 相关的文献共 10 篇,分别对术 后 2wk,1、3mo 眼压情况进行 Meta 分析。结果显示,各研 究间存在较大异质性(*I*²>50%,*P*<0.1),采用随机效应模

型分析,术后 2wk,1、3mo 两组患者 IOP 均有差异[WMD= -0.96,95%Cl(-1.83,-0.09),P<0.05;WMD=-2.68,95% Cl(-3.81,-1.55),P<0.05;WMD=-3.98,95%Cl(-5.00, -2.96),P<0.05]。纳入文献中效应量与手术成功率相关 的文献共9篇,随访时间为 3-12mo。对其进行 Meta 分析 的结果显示:异质性检验结果为 P=0.97,I²=0%,采用固 定效应模型进行分析,两组患者手术成功率有差异[RR=1. 41,95%Cl(1.28,1.55),P<0.05)]。纳入文献中效应量与功 能性滤过泡形成情况相关的文献共 14篇,各研究间存在异 质性的可能性很小(P=0.98,I²=0%)。采用固定效应模型 进行分析,两组患者功能性滤过泡形成率有差异义[RR= 1.33,95%Cl(1.23,1.44),P<0.05]。

结论:眼球按摩有助于青光眼滤过术后患者更有效地控制 眼压并促进功能性滤过泡的形成,从而提高手术成功率。 关键词:眼球按摩;小梁切除术;青光眼;Meta分析;功能 性滤过泡;眼压

Abstract

• AIM: To analyze the effect of ocular massage in patients after glaucoma filtering surgery.

• METHODS: Databases including the CNKI, VIP Information, WanFang and CBM were electronically searched for all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) about comparing the intraocular pressure (IOP), forming efficiency of functional filtering blebs and success rate of filtering surgery between patients with and without ocular massage after receiving filtering surgery. Two reviewers independently screened literature according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and evaluated the included studies. Then, Meta – analysis was performed using RevMan5.3 software.

• RESULTS: Totally 20 eligible studies involving 1757 eyes of 1750 individuals were included to investigate the effect of ocular massage in patients after glaucoma filtering surgery. Ten of the studies were related with IOP. The results of Meta - analysis showed that IOP was better controlled in patients with ocular massage in the postoperative 2wk, 1 and 3mo [(WMD = -0.96, 95% Cl (-1.83, -0.09), P < 0.05], [WMD = -2.68, 95% CI (-3.81, -0.09)]-1.55), P < 0.05 and [WMD = -3.98, 95% CI (-5.00, -1.55)]-2.96), P < 0.05], respectively. Fourteen of the studies were related with the forming efficiency of functional filtering blebs. The results of Meta-analysis showed that patients with ocular massage act better in forming functional blebs [*RR*=1.33, 95%*CI* (1.23, 1.44), *P*<0.05)]. Nine of the studies were related with the success rate of surgery. The results of Meta-analysis showed that patients

with ocular massage had higher rate of surgery success [(RR=1.41, 95%CI(1.28, 1.55), P<0.05)].

• CONCLUSION: Ocular massage can help patients after filtering surgery to control IOP more effectively and promote the formation of functional filtering blebs, so as to improve the success rate of surgery.

• KEYWORDS: ocular massage; trabeculectomy; glaucoma; Meta - analysis; functional filtering blebs; intraocular pressure

DOI:10.3980/j.issn.1672-5123.2021.8.03

Citation: Zhang L, Li XY, Wei X. A Meta-analysis on the effect of ocular massage in patients after glaucoma filtering surgery. *Guoji Yanke Zazhi*(*Int Eye Sci*) 2021;21(8):1319-1325

INTRODUCTION

T rabeculectomy is the most commonly performed form of glaucoma filtering surgery, at present. The main purpose of filtering surgery is to increase the outflow of aqueous humor and reduce intraocular pressure (IOP), to control the progress of glaucomatous optic nerve damage. In addition to the surgical technique itself, which is important for the success of the operation, postoperative nursing, particularly the formation and maintenance of the filtering blebs, is of great importance. Ocular massage is a common way to control IOP and help maintain filtering blebs after filtering surgery. It has many advantages, such as it is simple and economical, and has good compliance among patients^[1-7]. However, until now, there have been no systematic and comprehensive evaluations of this kind of therapy. The purpose of this study was to explore the effects of ocular massage on IOP control. bleb formation and the success rate of glaucoma filtering surgery.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria Inclusion criteria: 1) Distinct diagnosis of glaucoma; no limitation of subtypes, including open angle glaucoma, angle – closure glaucoma, normal IOP glaucoma; 2) All patients underwent glaucoma filtering surgery, including trabeculectomy and others; 3) The outcomes include IOP or bleb formation or success rate of surgery; 4) Randomized controlled clinical studies only.

Exclusion criteria: 1) Reviews, meeting reviews, comments and other non – treatise articles; 2) The full text of the literature is unavailable or unpublished literature; 3) Repeatedly published literature, multiple articles published by the same research group; 4) Articles using different ocular massage methods as intervention measurements.

Literature Retrieval Strategy Databases including the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), VIP Information, WanFang and Chinese Biomedical Literature (CBM) databases were electronically searched. Since the relevant literature retrieved in the foreign language database is very few and does not meet the inclusion criteria, this study did not include any foreign language literature.

Selection and Data Extraction Two assessors read the full text independently and select the literature according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, then extract the data by using Excel software to establish the information extract table, and extract the following contents from the included literature: name of the first author, year of publication, type of study, time of follow-up, sample size, subjects, outcome indicators and judgment criteria, and divergences will be solved through discussion or with the assistance of a third evaluator.

Bias Risk Assessment Bias risk assessment for articles included Cochrane system assessor's manual^[8] will be used to evaluate the bias risk of randomized controlled trials by two assessors. The following 7 aspects of the literature will be evaluated: formation of the random sequence; assignment concealment; blind method of patients and researchers; blind method of outcome measurement; integrity of result data (withdrawal/loss of interview); selective report of study results; other sources of bias.

Statistical Treatment The Meta-analysis was performed using RevMan5.3 software. For quantitative data, weighted mean deviation (WMD) and the 95% CI would be used as effect sizes. For count data, risk radio (RR) and the 95% CI was used as effect sizes. Chi-square test was used to analyze the heterogeneity of the study (the test level was set as $\alpha =$ 0.1), and the heterogeneity is quantitatively determined combined with the value of I^2 (a value used to evaluate the heterogeneity). If there was no statistical heterogeneity ($P \ge$ 0.10 and $I^2 \leq 50\%$) among the results of each study, the fixed effect model would be used. If there was statistical heterogeneity (P < 0.10 or $I^2 > 50\%$) among the results of each study, the reasons for the heterogeneity would be analyzed. When the heterogeneity couldn't be explained by clinical heterogeneity or methodological heterogeneity, random effect model would be used for Meta-analysis. Significant clinical heterogeneity would be treated with subgroup analysis or sensitivity analysis, or only with descriptive analysis.

RESULTS

Study Selection One hundred eighty three articles were found initially with no publishing time limitation; they were all Chinese articles from the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), VIP Information, WanFang and Chinese Biomedical Literature (CBM) databases. After layer by layer selection, 20 articles were finally included in the Meta-analysis. Further details included studies were given in the Prisma flow diagram (Figure 1).

Among all the original articles included in this study, there were $10^{[1-3,9-15]}$ whose outcome measures include IOP, $14^{[2-5,10,12,16-23]}$ included the efficiency of functional – bleb formation, and $9^{[1-5,16-19]}$ included the surgical success rate. Characteristics of the included literature were reported in the Table 1.

Tabl	e 1 Charac	terist	ics of th	e include	d literature						
No.	Author	Year	Blinding	Time of	Number of eyes		Mean		Preoperative I		- Outcome index
			0	follow-up	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control	
1	Han $M^{[10]}$	2019	no	6mo	70	70	66.03±6.51	67.11±6.49	21.31±3.25	21.52±3.20	IOP; Bleb-formation efficiency
2	Mao CJ ^[11]	2019	no	1 mo	23	23	53.2±5.7	53.5±5.5	19.43±2.44	18.08±2.52	IOP
3	Rao XY ^[9]	2019	no	3mo	50	50	50.62±3.82	50.82±3.82	24.62±2.10	24.66±2.10	IOP
4	Yang AM ^[12]	2018	no	1 mo	91	92	58.1±5.3	58.2±5.1	22.61±4.32	22.51±4.03	IOP; Bleb-formation efficiency
5	Peng JY ^[20]	2018	no	6mo	36	36	59.1±5.2	58.7±5.5	24.1±3.5	23.8±3.0	Bleb-formation efficiency
6	Yu HJ ^[13]	2018	no	3mo	44	44	No significan	t difference	23.15±8.12	23.52±7.23	IOP
7	Yin JB ^[21]	2017	no	-	20	20	No significan	t difference	15.6±3.5	16.3±2.8	Bleb-formation efficiency
8	Li JH ^[14]	2017	no	6mo	57	57	55.58±4.27	55.71±4.59	23.35±4.99	23.35±4.89	IOP
9	Zhao XF ^[15]	2015	no	3mo	40	40	No significan	t difference	22.5±8.2	23.5± 7.2	IOP
10	Pan SX ^[22]	2013	no	6mo	18	14	61±5.4	63±6.8	15.5±3.6	16.2±2.8	Bleb-formation efficiency
11	Wang RH ^[23]	2007	no	-	19	19	Not men	tioned	-	-	Bleb–formation efficiency
12	Cui XY ^[1]	2020	no	3mo	36	36	64.5±2.1	63.5±1.7	21.05±5.29	21.08±5.43	IOP; Success rate of surgery
13	Li L ^[2]	2019	no	6mo	89	89	59.40±8.10	51.2±6.8	59.40±8.10	32.79±3.58	IOP; Bleb-formation efficiency;Success rate of surgery
14	Xie JB ^[3]	2015	no	1a	40	38	No significan	t difference	-	-	IOP; Bleb-formation efficiency; Success rate of surgery
15	Li Y ^[4]	2015	no	6то	30	30	No significan	t difference	-	-	Bleb-formation efficiency; Success rate of surgery
16	Bao T ^[5]	2014	no	-	35	35	No significan	t difference	-	-	Bleb-formation efficiency Success rate of surgery
17	Pan LX ^[16]	2013	no	1a	40	40	41±4.2	40±4.1	-	-	Bleb-formation efficiency Success rate of surgery
18	Ren CL ^[17]	2013	no	6то	41	42	Not men	tioned	-	-	Bleb-formation efficiency; Success rate of surgery
19	Wang S ^[18]	2008	no	6то	32	32	No significan	t difference	-	-	Bleb-formation efficiency Success rate of surgery
20	Zong QF ^[19]	2003	no	бто	30	30	60.7±4.94	60.8±5.10	43.3±11.70	42.8±11.74	Bleb-formation efficiency Success rate of surgery

Other than Cui XY $(2020)^{[1]}$, whose standard for surgical success was IOP ≤ 18 mmHg and formation of functional filtering blebs at the same time, the articles' standard for success that IOP controlled in the range of 10–21 mmHg and functional filtering blebs were formed at the same time. "There were no significant differences in the mean ages between the experimental and control groups in any of the articles (with the caveat that two^[17,23] studies did not record the mean ages of the two groups of patients); ^bIn the studies that stated the preoperative IOP of the two groups of patients, there were no significant differences in IOP between the experimental and control groups; IOP:Intraocular pressure.

Bias Risk Assessment for Articles Bias risk was assessed according to the method recommended by the Cochrane collaborative network^[8]. The baseline data in the 20 studies were comparable, but all had different levels of bias in the assignment of patients to their two study groups. All 20 studies mentioned the word "random", four^[1,3,9,14] of which cited "random numbers" and two^[12,15] cited a "random lottery". The rest of the articles did not describe their

assignment method in detail. None of the studies reported the allocation scheme of concealment or the methods of blinding. All the studies reported the results completely, with no selective reporting of results. In the statistical process, two researchers assessed the quality of all the studies, after taking all the conditions above into consideration, and all 20 of these studies showed moderate risk of bias (Figure 2 and Figure 3).



Figure 1 Prisma flow diagram.



Figure 2 Figures of bias graph.

Figure 3 Figures of bias summary.

Meta-analysis

The effect of ocular massage on IOP of patients after Ten^[1-3,9-15] studies compared glaucoma filtering surgery the IOP of patients after glaucoma filtering surgery. Six of them^[2-3,9,11,13,15] compared the means and standard deviations of IOP at 2wk after surgery (Figure 4), four^[9-12] at 1mo (Figure 5) and $six^{[1-2,9,13-15]}$ at 3mo (Figure 6). The IOP means and standard deviations at these three times after surgery were examined using Meta-analysis. The heterogeneity among the studies was very high $(I^2 > 50\%, P < 0.1)$. indicating that all of them were heterogeneous with respect to each other, so randomized effect model analysis was applied. The results show that the IOP of the experimental group was lower than that of the control group. The differences in IOP between the experimental and control groups at 2wk, 1mo and 3mo postoperative were statistically significant [(WMD =-0.96, 95% CI (-1.83, -0.09), P < 0.05], [WMD =-2.68, 95% CI (-3.81, -1.55), P < 0.05] and [WMD = -3.98, 95% CI (-5.00, -2.96), P < 0.05].

The effect of ocular massage on the formation of functional filtering blebs in patients after glaucoma filtering surgery Fourteen of the studies^[2-5,10,12,16-23] compared the formation rate of functional filtering blebs after 1–12mo of follow–up. Of those, $10^{[2-5,17-20,22-23]}$ reported that the Kronteld^[24] method was used as the evaluation standard for judging filtering blebs, while the other four^[10,12,16,21] did not mention the method. The heterogeneity among the studies was relatively small ($I^2 = 41\%$, P = 0.05), so a fixed effect model was applied. The results of the Meta–analysis (Figure 7) showed that there were significant differences in the rate of formation of filtering blebs between the two groups [RR = 1.37, 95% *CI* (1.29, 1.46), P < 0.05)]. The patients with ocular massage had a higher rate of bleb formation.

Further sensitivity analysis revealed that the studies by Li *et* $al^{[2]}$, Wang *et* $al^{[23]}$ and Han *et* $al^{[10]}$ significantly impacted the heterogeneity of the study. After those three articles were excluded, Meta-analysis was carried out again. It showed that the heterogeneity was very small ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.98). The results of the new fixed effect model analysis (Figure 8) showed that there were significant differences between the two groups in the formation rate of functional blebs [RR = 1.33, 95% CI (1.23, 1.44), P < 0.05].

Taking the formation rate of functional filtering blebs as an analysis index, an inverted funnel chart (Figure 9) was made



Figure 7 Forest plot for comparison in formation rate of functional filtering blebs between study arms after 3–12mo of follow-up.

	Experimental		Control			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events Total		Events Total		Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Bao Tuhaersi 2014	32	35	24	35	8.8%	1.33 [1.04, 1.71]	
Han Mei 2020	65	70	57	70	0.0%	1.14 [1.00, 1.30]	
Li Li 2019	86	89	52	89	0.0%	1.65 [1.38, 1.98]	
Li Ying 2015	27	30	21	30	7.7%	1.29 [0.99, 1.67]	
Pan Lanxiang 2013	31	40	22	40	8.1%	1.41 [1.02, 1.95]	
Pan Shaoxin 2013	16	18	8	14	3.3%	1.56 [0.96, 2.52]	
Peng Jinyan 2018	32	36	22	36	8.1%	1.45 [1.09, 1.93]	
Ren Chunlin 2013	35	41	27	42	9.8%	1.33 [1.03, 1.72]	
Wang Ruihu 2007	15	19	3	19	0.0%	5.00 [1.73, 14.49]	
Wang Sang 2008	28	32	20	32	7.3%	1.40 [1.04, 1.89]	
Xie Jiubing 2015	38	40	29	38	10.9%	1.24 [1.03, 1.51]	
Yang Aimin 2018	80	91	66	92	24.0%	1.23 [1.06, 1.42]	
Yin Jiangbo 2017	18	20	12	20	4.4%	1.50 [1.02, 2.21]	
Zong Qiufeng 2003	28	30	21	30	7.7%	1.33 [1.04, 1.72]	
Total (95% CI)		413		409	100.0%	1.33 [1.23, 1.44]	•
Total events	365		272				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	3.06, df =	10 (P =	0.98); I ² =	0%			
Test for overall effect	Z = 7.25 (F	< 0.00	001)				0.2 0.5 1 2 5

Figure 8 Forest plot for comparison in formation rate of functional filtering blebs between study arms after 3 studies removed.





	Ocular massage	group	Non-massage	group		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Bao Tuhaersi 2014	31	35	23	35	9.0%	1.35 [1.03, 1.76]	
Cui Xiuying 2020	33	36	23	36	9.0%	1.43 [1.10, 1.87]	
Li Li 2019	88	89	75	89	29.3%	1.17 [1.07, 1.29]	
Li Ying 2015	26	30	17	30	6.6%	1.53 [1.09, 2.16]	
Pan Lanxiang 2013	36	40	27	40	10.6%	1.33 [1.05, 1.69]	
Ren Chunlin 2013	36	40	23	40	9.0%	1.57 [1.18, 2.08]	
Wang Sang 2008	28	32	19	32	7.4%	1.47 [1.08, 2.02]	
Xie Jiubing 2015	38	40	28	38	11.2%	1.29 [1.05, 1.58]	-
Zong Qiufeng 2003	28	30	20	30	7.8%	1.40 [1.07, 1.83]	
Total (95% CI)		372		370	100.0%	1.34 [1.25, 1.44]	•
Total events	344		255			10 10 100	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	10.57, df = 8 (P = 1	0.23); I ² =	24%			3-7-	
Test for overall effect:							0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10

Figure 10 Forest plot for comparison in success rate of glaucoma filtering surgery between study arms.

	Ocular massage	e group	Non-massage	e group		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Bao Tuhaersi 2014	31	35	23	35	12.7%	1.35 [1.03, 1.76]	
Cui Xiuying 2020	33	36	23	36	12.7%	1.43 [1.10, 1.87]	
Li Li 2019	88	89	75	89	0.0%	1.17 [1.07, 1.29]	
Li Ying 2015	26	30	17	30	9.4%	1.53 [1.09, 2.16]	
Pan Lanxiang 2013	36	40	27	40	14.9%	1.33 [1.05, 1.69]	
Ren Chunlin 2013	36	40	23	40	12.7%	1.57 [1.18, 2.08]	
Wang Sang 2008	28	32	19	32	10.5%	1.47 [1.08, 2.02]	
Xie Jiubing 2015	38	40	28	38	15.9%	1.29 [1.05, 1.58]	
Zong Qiufeng 2003	28	30	20	30	11.1%	1.40 [1.07, 1.83]	
Total (95% CI)		283		281	100.0%	1.41 [1.28, 1.55]	•
Total events	256		180				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.90, df = 7 (P = 0.	.97); I ² = 0 ⁴	%			2-1	
Test for overall effect							0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10

Figure 11 Forest plot for comparison in success rate of glaucoma filtering surgery between study arms after 1 study removed.

to evaluate the potential publication bias of the 14 articles (minus the three just cited). It can be seen that there was an approximately symmetrical trend, with little publication bias. The effect of ocular massage on the success rate of glaucoma filtering surgery Nine studies^[1-5, 16-19] compared the success rates at 1-12mo after surgery. Among those studies: six^[3-5,17-19] defined "success" of the surgery as controlling IOP to within 10-21 mmHg with functional blebs formed, using the Kronteld method as the evaluation standard for filtering blebs; one study defined surgical success as IOP ≤ 18 mmHg with functional blebs formed, but did not specify the standard for filtering blebs; and two did not define surgical success. The heterogeneity among the nine studies was very small ($I^2 = 24\%$, P = 0.23). A fixed effect model was applied for the combined analysis. The Meta-analysis (Figure 10) showed that there were significant differences between the two groups [RR = 1.34, 95% CI (1.25, 1.44),*P*<0.05].

Further sensitivity analysis showed that Li *et al*^[2] had a significant impact on the heterogeneity of the study. After that article was excluded, Meta – analysis was carried out again</sup>



Figure 12 Inverted funnel chart taking the success rate of surgery as an analysis index.

(Figure 11), and showed that the heterogeneity was very small ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.97). The results of the fixed effect analysis showed that there were significant differences between the two groups in the success rates of surgery [RR = 1.41, 95% CI (1.28, 1.55), P < 0.05].

Taking the success rate of surgery as the analysis index, an inverted funnel chart was made to evaluate the potential publication bias (Figure 12). Due to the small number of studies, the distribution trend was not obvious, but the inverted funnel chart demonstrated a basically symmetrical

trend, with little publication bias. **DISCUSSION**

The control of IOP and the maintenance of filtering blebs are the main problems in the care of patients after glaucoma filtering surgery. Analysis of the data included in this Meta– analysis showed that ocular massage had a significant beneficial effect on the control of IOP for patients at 2wk, 1 and 3mo after trabeculectomy. Furthermore, massage improved the formation rate of functional blebs and the success rate of surgery^[25].

Possible mechanisms by which ocular massage improves the success rate of surgery are as follows: 1) Promoting more flow of aqueous humor into the subconjunctival through the scleral incision and breaking through the early external adhesion of the filtering blebs; 2) Causing dislocation and deformation of the scleral flap, releasing the suture of the scleral flap slowly, delaying the healing of the scleral incision and reducing the formation of the scleral flap scar in the early stage; 3) Using the impulse of aqueous humor to wash away the clots and exudates blocked in the filtering passage way; 4) Aqueous humor exerting an inhibitory effect on scar formation and fiber proliferation. Because of the isolation of the aqueous humor, the bulbar conjunctival tissue cannot adhere to the sclera during healing and repair^[6,26].

However, there is a risk of complications, especially when the massage technique is not applied correctly. There have been reports of corneal abrasion, low IOP, shallow anterior chamber, hyphema, iris incarceration, rupture of the filtering bleb, subretinal hemorrhage and corneal dilation happening in patients using ocular massage following filtering surgery. Therefore, we should pay special attention to the technique used in the massage and to health education for patients^[6,7,27]. There are still many limitations in this study, such as the low quality of the original literature, the narrow range of sources of the original literature, and the relatively high heterogeneity between articles. Large, prospective, multi – center, randomized controlled clinical trials are needed to support our conclusion.

REFERENCES

1 Cui XY, Wang D, Hu YB, Xiao XB, Ji H. Application of ocular massage nursing in postoperative care of glaucoma patients. *China Health Vision* 2020(6):122

2 Li L, Liu QX, Liu Q. Application of ocular massage nursing in postoperative care of glaucoma patients. *International Journal of Nursing* 2019;38(15):2368-2370

3 Xie JB. Analysis of the clinical effect of compound trabeculectomy and long-term ocular massage in the treatment of glaucoma. *Digest World Latest Med Inf* 2015;15(47):4-6

4 Li Y, Ma MQ, Jiang LL. Nursing of ocular massage after glaucoma filtering operation. *Yi Xue Xin Xi* 2015(44):249-250

5 Bao T, Jiao JX. Observation of the causes of filtering bleb formation and the effect of ocular massage in glaucoma filtering surgery. *The Chinese and Foreign Health Abstract* 2014(18):158-159

6 Ali M, Akhtar F. Ocular digital massage for the management of posttrabeculectomy underfiltering blebs. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2011; 21(11):676-679 7 McIlraith I, Buys Y, Campbell RJ, Trope GE. Ocular massage for intraocular pressure control after Ahmed valve insertion. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2008;43(1):48-52

8 Armijo-Olivo S, Stiles CR, Hagen NA, Biondo PD, Cummings GG. Assessment of study quality for systematic reviews: a comparison of the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Tool and the Effective Public Health Practice Project Quality Assessment Tool: methodological research. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2012;18(1):12-18

9 Rao XY. The effect of nursing methods of ocular massage on patients after trabeculectomy. *Chinese and Foreign Medical Research* 2019; 17(29):128-130

10 Han M, Li SC, Zhang JL, Wang Y. The effect of ocular massage on the rehabilitation of patients after glaucoma filtering surgery. *Chinese Journal of Gerontology* 2019;39(14):3464-3466

11 Mao CJ. Analysis of the effect of ocular massage nursing on intraocular pressure of patients with glaucoma after filtering surgery. *Electronic Journal of General Dentistry* 2019;6(03):102

12 Yang AM. The effect of ocular massage on the prevention of intraocular complications after glaucoma surgery. *Chinese and Foreign Medical Treatment* 2018;37(29):52-56

13 Yu HJ. Analysis of the effect of postoperative ocular massage nursing on intraocular pressure control and pain reduction in patients with glaucoma. *Medical Frontier* 2018;8(21):110-111

14 Li JH. The effect of ocular massage nursing on intraocular pressure and pain control after glaucoma surgery. *International Medicine and Health Guidance News* 2017;23(11)

15 Zhao XF. Effect of postoperative ocular massage nursing on intraocular pressure and pain control in patients with glaucoma. *Journal of Clinical Medicine in Practice* 2015;19(18):115-118

16 Pan LX, Zhao YH, Wang YL. Nursing of ocular massage after glaucoma filtering surgery. *Chinese and Foreign Medical Treatment* 2013; 32(20):152-154

17 Ren CL. Analysis of the nursing of ocular massage after glaucoma filtering surgery. China Health Industry 2013;10(01):64

18 Wang S. Obervation of the effect of early-stage ocular massage after trabeculectomy. *Nursing Practice and Research* 2008(20):22-23

19 Zong QF, Cui H, Yang FQ. Observation of the effect of ocular massage nursing after glaucoma filtering surgery. *Journal of Clinical Ophthalmology* 2003(05):471-472

20 Peng JY, Wang H. The effect of ocular massage on intraocular pressure after compound trabeculectomy. *Journal of Gannan Medical College* 2018;38(03):241-243

21 Yin JB. The effect of ocular massage on the rehabilitation of patients after glaucoma filtering operation. *Shuang Zu Yu Bao Jian* 2017; 26(12):69-70

22 Pan SX, Tian J, Fan F, Wang XH. The auxiliary treatment of earlystage ocular massage after glaucoma filtering operation. *Journal of Clinical Ophthalmology* 2013;21(04):51-52

23 Wang RH. Observation on the curative effect of compound trabeculectomy combined with early-stage ocular massage. *North China National Defense Medicine* 2007(04):16-17

24 Kronfeld PC. The mechanisms of filtering operations. *Trans PacCoast Otoophthalmol Soc Annu Meet* 1949;33:23-40

25 Cohn H. What should be done when surgery fails? Managing the flat bleb after filtering surgery. *J Fr Ophtalmol* 2006;29(Spec No.2):67-69 26 Gouws P, Buys YM, Rachmiel R, Trope GE, Fresco BB. Finger massage versus a novel massage device after trabeculectomy. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2008;43(2):222-224

27 Smith M, Geffen N, Alasbali T, Buys YM, Trope GE. Digital ocular massage for hypertensive phase after Ahmed valve surgery. J Glaucoma 2010; 19(1): 11-14