

Effectiveness of low vision aids in vision rehabilitation and improvement of reading speed in people with age-related macular degeneration

Mufarriq Shah¹, Muhammad Tariq Khan²

引用:Shah M, Khan MT. 助视器对年龄相关性黄斑变性患者视功能恢复和阅读速度的影响. 国际眼科杂志 2021;21(1):9-14

¹Department of Optometry, Pakistan Institute of Community Ophthalmology, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar 25000, Pakistan

²Department of Ophthalmology, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar 25000, Pakistan

Correspondence to: Mufarriq Shah. Department of Optometry, Pakistan Institute of Community Ophthalmology, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar 25000, Pakistan. mufarriq1@hotmail.com

Received: 2020-04-23 Accepted: 2020-10-14

助视器对年龄相关性黄斑变性患者视功能恢复和阅读速度的影响

Mufarriq Shah¹, Muhammad Tariq Khan²

(作者单位:¹25000 巴基斯坦白沙瓦, Hayatabad 医疗中心, 巴基斯坦社区眼科研究所视光科;²25000 巴基斯坦白沙瓦, Hayatabad 医疗中心眼科)

通讯作者: Mufarriq Shah. mufarriq1@hotmail.com

摘要

目的: 评估助视器对年龄相关性黄斑变性(AMD)患者视功能恢复和阅读速度的影响。

方法: 对低视力门诊 44 名 AMD 患者进行评估。收集并分析使用助视器前和使用助视器时裸眼视力、最佳矫正视力、屈光状态、助视器类型(LVAs)以及阅读速度的相关数据。

结果: 共 44 例 AMD 患者, 平均年龄为 73±10.8 岁, 男性 36 例(82%)。其中, 29 例(67%)受试者视力较好的眼远视力(DVA)为 1.0~1.6 LogMAR, 而 36 例(82%)受试者近视力(NVA)小于 3.2 m。使用助视器时平均 DVA 提高 0.67±0.27 LogMAR($P=0.000$)。使用 LVAs 时, 42 例患者 NVA 达到 1 m 甚至更佳, 仅有 2 例(4.5%)没有使用 LVAs 的患者 NVA 为 1 m。在使用一定时间的助视器后, 有阅读能力的患者平均阅读速度从每分钟 2.9±4.78 字提高到每分钟 71.31±29.96 字($P<0.001$)。15 名受试者远距离视觉使用单目望远镜, 而戴高倍单目镜片眼镜作为最常用的阅读辅助工具。

结论: LVAs 对 AMD 患者的视功能恢复和阅读能力的提高有一定的作用。对于 AMD 患者而言, 接受低视力护理服务是有必要的。

关键词: 年龄相关性黄斑变性; 失明; 视功能恢复; 助视器

Abstract

• **AIM:** To assess the effectiveness of low vision devices in visual rehabilitation of people with age-related macular degeneration (AMD).

• **METHODS:** Forty-four consecutive people with AMD were assessed in low vision clinic. Data about uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity, refractive errors, types of low vision aids (LVAs) and reading speed prior and with low vision devices were collected and analyzed.

• **RESULTS:** Among 44 people with mean age 73±10.8 years suffering from AMD, 36 (82%) were male. 29 (67%) participants had distance visual acuity (DVA) of 1.0-1.6 LogMAR in the better-seeing eyes while 36 (82%) had near visual acuity (NVA) less than 3.2 m. Mean improvement in DVA with low vision devices was 0.67±0.27 LogMAR ($P=0.000$). With LVAs 42 (95%) participants achieved NVA of 1 m or better whereas only two (4.5%) participants had NVA of 1 m without LVAs. With the provision of adequate LVAs, mean reading speed was improved from 2.9±4.78 words per minute (wpm) to 71.31±29.96 wpm among the group of participants who could read ($P<0.001$). Monocular telescopes were prescribed to 15 participants for distance vision and high plus monocular lenses in spectacle were the most common aid for reading.

• **CONCLUSION:** LVAs can play an effective role in vision rehabilitation of people with AMD and in improvement of reading abilities. Referral to low vision care services must be considered for people with AMD.

• **KEYWORDS:** age-related macular degeneration; blindness; visual rehabilitation; low vision aids

DOI:10.3980/j.issn.1672-5123.2021.1.02

Citation: Shah M, Khan MT. Effectiveness of low vision aids in vision rehabilitation and improvement of reading speed in people with age-related macular degeneration. *Guoji Yanke Zazhi (Int Eye Sci)* 2021;21(1):9-14

INTRODUCTION

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD), a progressive ocular disorder, is a leading cause of blindness and vision impairment in aged population in high-income countries^[1-3]. AMD is reported the second leading cause of moderate or severe vision impairment in global population in 2015^[1]. World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that 8.8 million people worldwide are blind or have severe vision

impairment due to AMD. Blindness due to AMD varies from 3.98% in West sub-Saharan Africa to 19.53% in Eastern Europe^[1]. AMD contributed to 9% of cases in people with blindness and vision impairment in Pakistan^[4]. Global projected number of people with any AMD is 196 million in the year 2020, and is expected to rise to 288 million in 2040. Prevalence of AMD is 8.69% in the world and 6.8% in Asia^[5-6]. The prevalence of AMD is higher in Europe than in Asia^[5]. However, there will be greater number of people with AMD in Asia in 2040 due to increasing life expectancy and substantial increases in the population size which will result in an increase in the number of people with blindness and vision impairment^[5,7-8].

AMD is a bilateral condition and affects central vision and central visual field. Vision loss due to AMD affects the individual's capability to perform vision dependent tasks related to activities of daily living such as difficulty in reading, watching television and recognizing faces^[9-10]. These disabilities have profound effects on quality of life of people with AMD^[9,11]. Reading difficulty is one of the major complaints of people with AMD and improvement in reading performance is one of the main objectives of aged people for visiting rehabilitation services^[12-15].

There is no treatment for AMD that can restore vision to the normal level. Therefore, the increasing prevalence and devastating consequences of AMD and the lack of effective treatment for people with AMD highlights the importance of vision rehabilitation^[16-17]. The purpose of low vision rehabilitation services is to optimize the residual vision through the use of optical and non-optical low vision aids and modifications to the visual environment such as use of adequate lighting and contrast enhancement techniques^[3]. Improvement in reading ability is one of the major outcome measure for examining the effectiveness of vision rehabilitation services^[12-18]. However, there is a lack of systematic research about impact of vision rehabilitation on reading performance of people who want to read other than standardized text such as Arabic. The aim of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of low vision aids in vision rehabilitation and improvement of reading speed in a diverse group of people with AMD.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This hospital-based cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted on 44 people suffering from various stages of AMD aged between 55-95 years. These people with AMD were referred to our Low Vision Clinic from various hospitals in the province for the provision of their first low vision rehabilitation services. Participants were enrolled consecutively in this study between January 2019 and December 2019. In Pakistan, low vision services are provided in the department of ophthalmology in tertiary care hospitals. The majority of these services are provided as mono-disciplinary under which low vision devices are prescribed without enough facilities for visual rehabilitation services. People have less awareness about low vision services. They use to go to ophthalmologists

in secondary level hospitals from where ophthalmologists refer people who need low vision aids to low vision clinics in tertiary eye care hospitals. All participants included in this study underwent a standardized protocol of low vision assessment in our low vision clinic including history of each participant's ocular and general health, assessment of visual functions, subjective and objective refraction, evaluation of magnification requirement, provision of low vision aids and measuring of reading speed before and after provision of low vision aids.

Distance visual acuity of each participant was measured first monocular then binocularly using the Bailey-Lovie LogMAR (logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution) test with the participant's available refractive correction for distance vision^[19]. The directional E LogMAR test was used for people unable to read English. VA was tested at 4 m and, if necessary, at 3, 2 and 1 m on each eye. DVA was classified and grouped into vision impairment [$6/18 > VA > 6/60$ ($<0.54-1.0$)], severe vision impairment [$6/60 > VA > 3/60$ ($<1.0-1.3$)] and profound vision impairment [$VA < 3/60$ (<1.3)] according to classification system developed by the International Centre for Eye Health in collaboration with WHO^[20].

For participants who could read English, Bailey-Lovie LogMAR chart for near vision in print sizes ranging from 1.1 LogMAR (5 m, 40 point) to -0.2 LogMAR (0.25 m, 2 point) [Bailey-Lovie Reading Chart Berkeley MN text version T1 School of Optometry, U.C, Berkeley, 1999] was used to assess their near VA and reading speed.

Though people in Pakistan and many other Muslim countries do not understand Arabic, they learn to read Quran, our religious book, which is in Arabic language. There is no standardized test available for people who cannot read English and want to read Arabic. To assess the visual capacity for reading and measure reading speed of participants with AMD who do not understand English and need improvement in near vision to read Quran, passages from Quran in print sizes ranging from 5 m (40 point) to 1 m (8 point) and equal length of words were printed.

Near visual acuity (NVA) was divided into three groups; less than 3.2 m, 3.2 m to less than 1 m, and 1 m (newspaper size) or better and were recorded for each eye^[4], "m" notation designates the distance (in meters) at which the object subtends an angle of 5min arc. Thus 1 m print size subtends 5min arc at one meter. The goal near visual acuity was defined on the basis of the need of the participant. The smallest print size read, the distance of eye from the print, equivalent viewing distance (EVD) and equivalent viewing power (EVP) for specification of magnification were recorded. Reading speed was calculated as words per minute (wpm) by the formula [correctly read words/reading time (seconds)] \times 60. The number of wrongly read words were noted and subtracted from the total number of words of the text.

The magnification requirement for distance vision was chosen

Table 1 Presenting VA, VA with refraction and VA with LVAs

(n = 44)

Parameters	Presenting VA, n (%)	VA with refraction, n (%)	VA with LVAs, n (%)
6/18 (0.54) or better	0 (0)	11 (25)	40 (91)
<6/18–6/60 (<0.54–1.0)	16 (36)	21 (48)	3 (7)
<6/60–3/60 (<1.0–1.3)	9 (21)	9 (20)	1 (2)
<3/60 (<1.3)	19 (43)	3 (7)	0 (0)
Total	44 (100)	44 (100)	44 (100)

VA: Visual acuity; LVAs: Low vision aids.

Table 2 Near VA at presentation and with LVAs

(n = 44)

Near VA	Presenting near VA, n (%)	Near VA with LVAs, n (%)
1 m or better	2 (4.5)	42 (95.5)
<1–3.2 m	6 (13.6)	0 (0)
<3.2 m	36 (82)	2 (4.5)
Total	44 (100)	44 (100)

VA: Visual acuity; LVAs: Low vision aids.

for the better-seeing eye for distance; similarly magnification requirement for near vision was calculated for the better-seeing eye for near vision. Various low vision aids such as telescopes, hand hold and stand magnifiers with and without illumination, selective absorptive filters for various wave lengths and closed circuit television were used during assessment. According to the magnification requirement for distance and near vision, the appropriate low vision aid was carefully tested and prescribed. Most of low vision devices (LVDs) are difficult to use for the first time due to decreased working distance and field of view. Therefore, all participants were instructed how to use LVDs for reading and were trained for 30–40min before reading speed with low vision aids was measured.

Statistical Analysis All data were obtained and recorded in a specifically designed data sheets and then transferred to SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 19 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) for the purpose of analysis. Results are shown descriptively as mean values, standard deviation and range. Mann-Whitney *U*-test was used to compare reading speed before and after the provision of low vision aids. *P*-values of less than 0.05 were considered as indicators of statistical significance.

Ethics approval for this study was obtained from the Research and Ethics Committee of the Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar. Study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards stated in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. Written consent was obtained from all participants included in the study.

RESULTS

A total of 44 people with a high percentage of male (82%) with AMD referred for low vision rehabilitation were assessed in the low vision clinic and included in the study. Mean age was 73 ± 10.8 years with minimum age 55 years and maximum age 95 years. Thirteen (29.5%) of the participants were educated and could read and write, 16 (36.4%) of the participants could read only while 15 (34.1%) of the

participants were illiterate and they could not read or write. Among female participants five were illiterate and two could read and write. Among male, 15 participants could read only, 11 could read and write while ten were illiterate.

On presentation, 29 (67%) of the participants had distance visual acuity (DVA) 1.0–1.6 LogMAR (between 6/60 and 6/240) in the better seeing-eye. With adequate refraction this number was reduced to 20 (45.5%) due to improvement in DVA in nine participants. The mean distance visual acuity of the better-seeing eye for the whole group was 1.15 ± 0.34 LogMAR (range: 0.5–1.6). The mean distance visual acuity with adequate refraction was 0.85 ± 0.38 LogMAR. Mean improvement in distance visual acuity with adequate refraction was 0.298 ± 0.225 LogMAR ($P = 0.000$). Mean distance VA was enhanced to 0.19 ± 0.24 LogMAR after provision of low vision devices ($P = 0.000$). Mean improvement in distance visual acuity with low vision aids was 0.67 ± 0.27 LogMAR ($P = 0.000$). Visual acuity of better-seeing eye of all participants grouped into vision impairment [6/18 >VA>6/60 (<0.54–1.0)], severe vision impairment [6/60 >VA>3/60 (<1.0–1.3)] and profound vision impairment [VA <3/60 (<1.3)] at the time of presentation and with low vision aids is shown in Table 1.

Mean spherical equivalent refractive errors were $+0.98 \pm 5.18$ DS and 1.09 ± 4.5 DS in right eyes and left eyes respectively. Refractive error amongst the whole group ranged from -10.0 DS to $+11.0$ DS. There was no statistically significant difference in the mean spherical equivalent of refractive errors in right eyes and left eyes of participants ($P = 0.854$). On presentation, only two (4.5%) participants had near VA of 1 m with their own glasses. With the provision of low vision aids 42 (95.5%) achieved near VA of 1 m. Near visual acuity person with their habitual glasses at presentation and with the provision of low vision aids is given in Table 2.

For distance vision, spectacles were prescribed to 43 participants. Monocular telescopes were prescribed to 15 participants. To perform near task, high plus monocular lenses

Table 3 Types of low vision aids for near

Types of low vision aids for near	Number
Prismatic magnifying spectacles	13
High plus monocular lenses	19
Illuminated stands magnifiers	8
Illuminated hand-held magnifiers	7
CCTV system	1

CCTV: Closed circuit television; The number of LVDs is greater than the number of participants because some participants needed more than one device.

were prescribed to 19 participants. Prismatic magnifying spectacles up to 8 dioptres with base in prism (Fonda glasses) were prescribed to thirteen participants. Devices prescribed for near vision are given in Table 3.

Two participants have NVA of 1 m or better but both of them were illiterate. Reading speed was not calculated in the group of illiterate participants ($n=15$) due to their inability to read any text. With the provision of LVAs reading speed was significantly improved (from 2.9 ± 4.78 to 71.31 ± 29.96 wpm) among the group of participants who could read and write ($n=13$) and the group of participants who could read only ($n=16$) collectively ($P<0.001$). Difference in means of reading speed without and with LVAs was 68.4 ± 27.27 wpm. Prior to the provision of LVAs, there was no significant difference between the reading speed of participants in the group who could read and write and those in the group who could read only ($P=0.199$). The mean reading speed amongst the group of participants who could read and write and those who could read only was 4.62 ± 6.18 wpm and 1.50 ± 2.71 wpm respectively prior to provision of LVAs. However, after provision of LVAs, there was a significant difference in improvement in reading speed of participants who could read and write as compare to those who could read only ($P<0.002$) even though the NVA of the participants in both groups was enhanced to 1 m. Mean reading speed of participants who could read and write was improved to 90.31 ± 32.0 wpm while reading speed of those who could read only was improved to 55.88 ± 17 wpm with LVDs.

DISCUSSION

Findings from this study showed that provision of appropriate low vision aids (LVAs) play a highly effective role in vision rehabilitation of people with AMD. Most of the participants (91%) in our study achieved 0.54 LogMAR (6/18) or better with LVAs. These findings are consistent with the previous reports that vision rehabilitation services through the provision of adequate LVAs helps people with low vision to enhance their residual vision^[12,21-22]. Reading ability in people with AMD is reduced and improving reading ability is a high expectation of people with reduced vision from vision rehabilitation services^[12-13]. Results of this study demonstrated highly beneficial effects of use of appropriate LVAs in enhancing the reading performance with a significant increase

in reading speed in people with AMD. The similar effectiveness of low vision aids in enhancing reading ability of people with low vision is reported in other studies^[3,18,23].

There is no standard methodology about the selection of the type of low vision aids that should be prescribed to achieve maximal benefit from the residual vision in people with AMD. Some researchers reported magnifiers as the most frequently used aids for near vision among people with vision impairment^[24] while other mostly prescribed high plus reading glasses for reading purpose^[21,25]. In the current study mostly high plus monocular lenses were prescribed for near vision to participants with AMD. Though researcher claim that prisms have benefits in image relocation in people with AMD^[26]. In this study, we did not prescribe prisms for image relocation or eccentricity in central scotomas but these were prescribed to achieve binocularity with high - power plus lenses in participants with DVA 0.9 LogMAR or better and who need to read comparatively large print size such as Arabic text at a greater working distance. We prescribed CCTV to one participant only while in other studies CCTV has been prescribed up to 42% in people with AMD where cost of CCTV is paid by health insurance providers^[21,24,27]. This low number of CCTV in our study is due to non-affordability of the electronic low vision aids in our society because participants have to purchase low vision aids from market.

Improving reading performance is a major goal of people with AMD to achieve from vision rehabilitation services^[14,25]. Several reports suggested various tests in different languages and methods of assessing reading performance^[28]. These standardized reading tests are highly predictive of measuring reading performance but their limitation in people who cannot read these tests is also obvious from our study findings. More than two-third (70.50%) of the participants in our study could not read standardized text to assess their near vision for reading Arabic text or performing their near tasks other than reading purpose. In addition, all these tests and methods measure reading ability for meaningful text and reading speed is greatly influenced by cognitive factors^[12]. In our study, participants in the group who could read only ($n=16$, 36.4%) wanted low vision aids for reading Arabic text but none of them could understand the meaning of Arabic. This is common in many Muslim countries. However, with the provision of low vision aids, reading ability was achieved in 100% of participants in this group. These participants noted problem in reading Arabic text and they visited low vision clinic for provision of aids to read.

A new aspect of our study is the use of Arabic text for measuring reading speed which cannot be compared with different standardized tests for reading because the difficulty of reading Arabic text cannot be compared with English text of the same length due to linguistic complexity. The important aspect worth highlighting is the participants' goal of visit to

our vision rehabilitation services. This group of participants wanted to re-start reading Arabic text and they achieved it through the use of appropriate low vision aids. As reported by several authors, near VA measured on simple test of letter acuity cannot predict reading performance^[29-30]. Findings from our study also indicated that reading speed was not correlated to near VA in participants who could read and write and those who could read only. In our study, mean reading speed was improved to 90.31 ± 32.0 wpm in participants who could read and write while 55.88 ± 17 wpm in those who could read only. This shows that reading speed not only depends on near VA but also on the reader's performance and cognitive factors. The reliability of our reading tests for these people who could only read but cannot understand what they read is questionable. To our knowledge, there has been no report of the use of any reading test for participants who could read a text but cannot understand the meaning of what they read. There is need of further research to optimize reliability of reading tests to equate reading performance across languages for multi-language participants.

A limitation of this study is that perimetry or scanning laser ophthalmoscopy to investigate the presence of central scotoma was not performed on these participants. Therefore, eccentric viewing techniques for reading speed were not evaluated. Though researcher reported a significant increase of reading speed in people with AMD and large absolute scotoma through training with eccentric viewing^[30]. However, enhancement in reading performance with the use of appropriate low vision aids in most of participants in our study show that these participants have retained their central fixation. Participants ($n=2$) who could not achieved a significant improvement in reading speed with low vision aids were subjectively assessed if they could have any improvement in eccentric viewing but could not succeed. An important contributing factor among these two participants was denial to do effort for eccentric viewing. Providing appropriate low vision aids can play an effective role in vision rehabilitation of people with AMD and in improvement of reading abilities. Referral to low vision care services must be considered for people with low vision.

REFERENCES

- 1 Flaxman SR, Bourne RR, Resnikoff S, Ackland P, Braithwaite T, Cicinelli MV, *et al.* Global causes of blindness and distance vision impairment 1990–2020: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2017;5(12):e1221–e1234
- 2 Pelletier AL, Rojas-Roldan L, Coffin J. Vision loss in older adults. *Am Fam Physician* 2016;94(3):219–226
- 3 Virgili G, Acosta R, Bentley SA, Giacomelli G, Allcock C, Evans JR. Reading aids for adults with low vision. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018;4:CD003303
- 4 Shah M, Khan MD. Causes of low vision amongst the low-vision patients attending the Low-Vision Clinic at Khyber Institute of Ophthalmic Medical Sciences (KIOMS), Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar, Pakistan. *J Vis Impair Res* 2004;6(2–3):89–97
- 5 Wong WL, Su XY, Li X, Cheung CM, Klein R, Cheng CY, Wong

- TY. Global prevalence of age-related macular degeneration and disease burden projection for 2020 and 2040: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2014;2(2):e106–e116
- 6 Kawasaki R, Yasuda M, Song SJ, Chen SJ, Jonas JB, Wang JJ, Mitchell P, Wong TY. The prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in Asians; a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology* 2010;117(5):921–927
- 7 Stevens GA, White RA, Flaxman SR, Price H, Jonas JB, Keeffe JE, *et al.* Global prevalence of vision impairment and blindness; magnitude and temporal trends, 1990–2010. *Ophthalmology* 2013;120(12):2377–2384
- 8 Bourne RRA, Flaxman SR, Braithwaite T, Cicinelli MV, Das A, Jonas JB, *et al.* Magnitude, temporal trends, and projections of the global prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment; a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2017;5(9):e888–e897
- 9 Lamoureux EL, Hassell JB, Keeffe JE. The determinants of participation in activities of daily living in people with impaired vision. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004;137(2):265–270
- 10 Taylor DJ, Smith ND, Jones PR, Binns AM, Crabb DP. Measuring dynamic levels of self-perceived anxiety and concern during simulated mobility tasks in people with non-neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2020;104(4):529–534
- 11 Haymes SA, Johnston AW, Heyes AD. Relationship between vision impairment and ability to perform activities of daily living. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2002;22(2):79–91
- 12 Rubin GS. Measuring reading performance. *Vision Res* 2013;90:43–51
- 13 Crossland MD, Gould ES, Helman CG, Feely MP, Rubin GS. Expectations and perceived benefits of a hospital-based low vision clinic: Results of an exploratory, qualitative research study. *Vis Impair Res* 2007;9(2–3):59–66
- 14 Casten R, Rovner BW, Fontenot JL. Targeted vision function goals and use of vision resources in ophthalmology patients with age-related macular degeneration and comorbid depressive symptoms. *J Vis Impair Blind* 2016;110(6):413–424
- 15 Chung STL. Reading in the presence of macular disease: a mini-review. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2020;40(2):171–186
- 16 Rubin GS. Vision rehabilitation for patients with age-related macular degeneration. *Eye* 2001;15(3):430–435
- 17 Deemer AD, Massof RW, Rovner BW, Casten RJ, Piersol CV. Functional outcomes of the low vision depression prevention trial in age-related macular degeneration. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2017;58(3):1514–1520
- 18 Binns AM, Bunce C, Dickinson C, Harper R, Tudor-Edwards R, Woodhouse M, *et al.* How effective is low vision service provision? A systematic review. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2012;57(1):34–65
- 19 Ferris FL 3rd, Kassoff A, Bresnick GH, Bailey I. New visual acuity charts for clinical research. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1982;94(1):91–96
- 20 Gilbert C, Foster A, Négrel AD, Thylefors B. Childhood blindness; a new form for recording causes of visual loss in children. *Bull World Health Organ* 1993;71(5):485–489
- 21 Nguyen NX, Weismann M, Trauzettel-Klosinski S. Improvement of reading speed after providing of low vision aids in patients with age-related macular degeneration. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2009;87(8):849–853
- 22 Stelmack JA, Tang XC, Wei YL, Massof RW, Low-Vision Intervention Trial Study Group. The effectiveness of low-vision rehabilitation in 2 cohorts derived from the veterans affairs Low-Vision Intervention Trial. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2012;130(9):1162–1168

23 Barker L, Thomas R, Rubin G, Dahlmann-Noor A. Optical reading aids for children and young people with low vision. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015(3):CD010987

24 Fröhlich SJ, Lackerbauer CA. Quality control in rehabilitation of patients with visual impairment: evaluation of use and benefits of optic and electronic devices. *Ophthalmologe* 2006;103(12):1038-1043

25 Amore FM, Fortini S, Silvestri V, Sulfaro M, Pacifici A, Turco S. Vision rehabilitation in patients with age-related macular degeneration. *Rehabilitation Process Outcome* 2014;3:RPO.S12364

26 Al-Karmi R, Markowitz SN. Image relocation with prisms in patients with age-related macular degeneration. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2006;41(3):313-318

27 Moraes GN, Marcos AAA, Barros GdSS, Costa Filho HAd.

Assessment of visual acuity improvement in patients with AMD referred to the low vision department. *Rev Bras de Oftalmol* 2018;77(2):76-79

28 Pondorfer SG, Heinemann M, Wintergerst MWM, Pfau M, Strömer AL, Holz FG, Finger RP. Detecting vision loss in intermediate age-related macular degeneration: a comparison of visual function tests. *PLoS One* 2020;15(4):e0231748

29 Rubin G, Feely M. The role of eye movements during reading in patients with age-related macular degeneration (AMD). *Neuro-Ophthalmology* 2009;33(3):120-126

30 Nilsson UL, Frennesson C, Nilsson SE. Patients with AMD and a large absolute central scotoma can be trained successfully to use eccentric viewing, as demonstrated in a scanning laser ophthalmoscope. *Vision Res* 2003;43(16):1777-1787