· Meta analysis ·

# Prevalence of myopia among primary school students in mainland China: a Meta-analysis

Xi-Qing Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Shun-Ping Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Yan-Jiao Xu<sup>3</sup>, Jie Wei<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Health Management and Policy, Shandong University, Jinan 250012, Shandong Province, China <sup>2</sup>Kev Lab for Health Economics and Policy Research, Ministry

of Health, Jinan 250012, Shandong Province, China

<sup>3</sup>Affiliated Eye Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan 250014, Shandong Province, China **Correspondence to:** Shun – Ping Li. Centre for Health Management and Policy, Shandong University, Jinan 250012, Shandong Province, China; Key Lab for Health Economics and Policy Research, Ministry of Health, Jinan 250012, Shandong Province, China. lishunping@ sdu. edu. cn Received: 2015-03-10 Accepted: 2016-04-19

## 中国大陆地区小学生近视患病率 Meta 分析

徐喜卿<sup>1,2</sup>,李顺平<sup>1,2</sup>,徐艳娇<sup>3</sup>, 韦杰<sup>1,2</sup>

(作者单位:<sup>1</sup>250012 中国山东省济南市山东大学卫生管理与政策研究中心;<sup>2</sup>250012 中国山东省济南市卫生部卫生经济与政策研究重点实验室;<sup>3</sup>250014 中国山东省济南市山东中医药大学附属眼科医院)

作者简介:徐喜卿,毕业于潍坊医学院,山东大学在读硕士研究 生,研究方向:生命质量评价与系统综述。

通讯作者:李顺平,毕业于山东大学,博士研究生,副教授,研究 方向:卫生经济学评价与生命质量测评. lishunping@ sdu. edu. en

## 摘要

目的:评价 1980/2013 年中国大陆地区小学生近视患病率。中国大陆人群尤其是儿童的近视眼患病率高,近视已 然成为突出的公共卫生问题,而至今国内仍缺乏基于全国 人群的小学生近视眼患病率的研究。

方法:系统检索万方数据库、中国(CNKI)学术文献总库和 PubMed 数据库相关文献,检索时间截止 2013 年 12 月 31 日;两名评阅者提取纳入文献的相关信息,Meta分析采用 Meta-Analyst 3.13 软件。

结果:共纳入符合条件发表于 1980/2013 年间的文献 37 篇,总调查人数 245 248 人。纳入人群的近视眼患病率为 26.5% (95% CI:21.8% ~31.7%);近视眼患病率随年龄 增长而上升(6~8岁8.4%到 12~14岁57.4%)。

结论:中国大陆地区小学生近视眼患病率明显高于西方国家和地区,年龄增加近视眼患病率上升。该研究可能对大陆地区小学生近视眼防治具有参考价值。

关键词:近视眼;患病率;Meta分析;小学生;中国

**引用:**徐喜卿,李顺平,徐艳娇,韦杰.中国大陆地区小学生近视 患病率 Meta 分析.国际眼科杂志 2016;16(7):1221-1227

## Abstract

• AIM: To estimate the pooled prevalence of myopia among primary school students in mainland China during 1980-2013. Myopia had become a growing public health issue, with high prevalence rates in mainland China, particularly among children. However, we still had no population-based nationwide studies of the prevalence of myopia among primary school students in recent years.

• METHODS: Wanfang, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure and PubMed databases were searched independently until Dec. 31, 2013 to identify relevant articles. Data from the eligible articles were extracted by two reviewers. All of the data analyses were conducted using Meta-Analyst software (version 3.13, USA).

• RESULTS: Thirty – seven eligible studies published between 1980 and 2013 were selected with a total of 245 248 individuals. The pooled prevalence of myopia among the included individuals was 26.5% (95% CI: 21.8%-31.7%). The prevalence of myopia increased with age (from 8.4% at 6-8y to 57.4% at 12-14y).

• CONCLUSION: The pooled prevalence of myopia among primary school students in mainland China was much higher than that of western countries or regions. The prevalence of myopia increased with age among primary school students. This study should be valuable for myopia prevention and treatment in mainland China.

• KEYWORDS: myopia; prevalence; Meta - analysis; primary school students; China

DOI:10.3980/j.issn.1672-5123.2016.7.05

**Citation**: Xu XQ, Li SP, Xu YJ, Wei J. Prevalence of myopia among primary school students in mainland China: a Meta-analysis. *Guoji Yanke Zazhi* (*Int Eye Sci*) 2016;16(7):1221-1227

## INTRODUCTION

M yopia is the leading cause of visual impairment among refractive errors, and its prevalence has been increasing globally  $^{[1-3]}$ . It has been reported that myopia rates in East Asia, particularly among the Japanese and Chinese populations, are much higher than in European populations  $^{[4]}$ .

Myopia has become a growing public health issue with high prevalence rates in mainland China, particularly in children. For instance, according to a report on physical fitness and health research regarding Chinese school students, the prevalence of visual impairment among primary school students between 7 and 12y was 40.9% in 2010 (48.8% in urban and 33.0% in rural areas), which increased by 9.2 percentage points compared with that in  $2005^{[5]}$ .

Meta-analysis is a useful statistical tool for pooling data from individual studies and thereby increasing the statistical power and the precision of effect estimates. However, there have been no studies analyzing the prevalence of myopia in the Chinese population using Meta-analysis. The objective of this study was to summarize the prevalence of myopia among primary school students in mainland China and to inform broader initiatives.

### SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Literature and Search Strategy The Wanfang, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and PubMed databases were searched for relevant articles published between Jan. 1<sup>st</sup>, 1980 and Dec. 31<sup>st</sup>, 2013. Combinations of keywords and medical subject headings "myopia OR shortsightedness OR near-sightedness OR visual impairment OR refractive errors", "student OR students", "prevalence OR incidence" and "China" were used to search for potentially relevant studies. English and Chinese language restrictions were applied. No attempts were made to retrieve unpublished studies.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria** To meet the analysis requirements and to reduce deviations, the studies included in the Meta-analysis had to meet the following inclusion criteria: 1) population-based studies; 2) focusing on primary school students aged between 6 and 14y; 3) studying prevalence of myopia; 4) conducted in mainland China; 5) having clear diagnostic criteria for myopia; 6) consisting of original research; 7) containing a sample size more than 500. The exclusion criteria were reviews and studies with insufficient information on the prevalence of myopia.

**Data Extraction** Data from eligible studies were extracted independently by two reviewers (Xu XQ and Wei J). The following information was extracted from each study: first author and year of publication; study site and period; sample size; myopia criteria; and prevelance of myopia. Disagreements between the two reviewers during data extraction were reconciled by a third investigator (Li SP). The data from all of the included studies were clearly tabulated, and deviations were considered and identified during the whole process.

**Data Analysis** Meta-analyses were conducted using Meta-Analyst software (version 3.13, National Center for Research Resources, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA) to calculate the pooled prevalence of myopia among primary school students from all of the eligible studies. A summary of prevalence estimates was obtained using fixed-effects Meta-analysis or random-effects Meta-analysis, which was determined by  $I^2$ . Statistical heterogeneity was assessed by  $I^2$  and its values of 25%, 50% and 75%, which represented low, moderate and high heterogeneity, respectively<sup>[6]</sup>. In this study, the type of Meta-analysis chosen was based on the rate ( $I^2$ ). Subgroup analyses, including age, region and published year(s) and criteria, were also conducted. Additionally, sensitivity analysis was applied to compare the overall prevalence



Figure 1 Flow diagram.



Proportion: 95% Confidence Interval

Figure 2 Forest plot of the prevalence studies of myopia among primary school students in mainland China.

Int Eye Sci, Vol. 16, No. 7, Jul. 2016 http://ies.ijo.cn Email: IJO. 2000@163. com Tel:029-82245172 82210956

	ics of studies includ Source or	Year of data		Age criterion	City, state,	Myopia	Prevalence
First author(a)	database	collection	N	for study entry(a)	or region	Myopia diagnostic criteria	(n/N)
Sun et al <sup>[7]</sup> (1981)	CNKI	1981	7024	8–13 (Grade 1–5)	Yishui	SE≤-0.25D	160/1494
Sun <i>et al</i> <sup>[8]</sup> (1981) Wang <i>et al</i> <sup>[8]</sup> (1999)	Wanfang & CNKI	1981	4696	6-13 (Grade 1-5) 6-13 (Grade 1-6)	Wenzhou	$SE \leq -0.25D$ $SE \leq -3.00D$	2296/4696
Wang <i>et al</i> <sup>[9]</sup> (1999) Zhao <i>et al</i> <sup>[9]</sup> (2002)	PubMed		4696	5-13	Shunyi		
		1998			-	$SE \leq -0.50D$	1753/4662
Chen <i>et al</i> <sup><math>[10](2003)</math></sup>	Wanfang & CNKI	2001	2376	6-13	Shenzhen	$SE \leq -0.250D$	885/2376
Zhong <i>et al</i> <sup>[11]</sup> (2004)	Wanfang & CNKI	2004	612	8-12 (Grade 2-6)	Jinan	$SE \leq -0.50D$	207/612
He et $al^{[12]}(2004)$	PubMed	2002-2003	5053	6-13	Guangzhou	$SE \leq -0.50D$	744/2980
Sun <i>et al</i> <sup>[13]</sup> (2005)	Wanfang & CNKI	2004	1368	6-14(Grade 1-6)	Changshandao	$SE \leq -0.25D$	236/1368
Shi <i>et al</i> <sup>[14]</sup> (2006)	Wanfang & CNKI	2004	2186	7-9(Grade 1-3)	Xi'an	SE<-0.50D	1194/2186
Dong <i>et al</i> <sup><math>[15](2007)</math></sup>	Wanfang & CNKI	2002-2005	35944	7,13	Tongliao	$SE \leq -0.50D$	1759/11473
Shi et $al^{[16]}(2007)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2004	1906	9-13 (Grade 4-6)	Xi'an	SE<-0.50D	1419/1906
Li <i>et al</i> <sup>[17]</sup> (2008)	Wanfang	2007	18000	6-11	Dongguan	$SE \leq -0.50D$	4814/11378
Ren <i>et al</i> <sup>[18]</sup> (2008)	Wanfang	2007	4202	6-13	Zhongshan	$SE \leq -0.75D$	1061/2101
Yang et al <sup>[19]</sup> (2008)	Wanfang & CNKI	2005 - 2007	2984	7-10	Yichun	$SE \leq -0.50D$	94/1591
Wang <sup>[20]</sup> (2009)	Wanfang & CNKI	2007	18687	6-13	Fu'an	$SE \leq -0.50D$	1215/18687
Xia <i>et al</i> <sup>[21]</sup> (2010)	Wanfang & CNKI	2009	3517	6-13	Qingpu	SE<-0.50D	511/3517
Fan <i>et al</i> <sup>[22]</sup> (2010)	Wanfang & CNKI	2005 - 2007	19866	6-13	Gansu	$SE \leq -3.00D$	8346/11438
Shen <sup>[23]</sup> (2010)	Wanfang & CNKI	2009	3322	7-14 (Grade 1-5)	Luwan	$SE \leq -0.50D$	1602/2162
Sun <sup>[24]</sup> (2010)	Wanfang & CNKI	2008	6531	6-13(Grade 1-6)	Shanghai	SE<0D	4882/5636
Pi <i>et al</i> <sup>[25]</sup> (2010)	PubMed	2006-2007	3469	6-12	Yongchuan	SE≤-0.50D	199/2206
Xie <i>et al</i> <sup>[26]</sup> (2010)	Zhonghuayixuezazhi	2008-2009	11246	6–13 (Grade 1–6)	5 Provinces <sup>b</sup>	SE<-0.50D	291/2350; 303/2443; 406/2061; 267/2240; 271/2152
Xiong <sup>[27]</sup> (2011)	Wanfang & CNKI	2010	9937	6-12	Shanghai	SE≤-0.75 D	1297/5427
$Guo^{[28]}(2011)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2011	2628	Grade 1–6	Jinan	SE≤-0.75 D	372/1161
Gao et $al^{[29]}(2011)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2009	2480	Grade 1–6	Lianyungang	$SE \leq -0.50D$	140/1151
Zhu et $al^{[30]}(2011)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2005	1464	6–13	Chengdu	$SE \le -0.50D$	172/700
Guo et al <sup>[31]</sup> (2012) <sup>a</sup>	Wanfang & CNKI	_	681	6–7,9–10(Gradel,4)	Beijing	SE≤-0.50D	328/681; 190/681; 119/681; 79/681
$Ma^{[32]}(2012)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2011	3097	6-13	Hangzhou	$SE \leq -0.50D$	1031/2169
Chen <sup>[33]</sup> (2012)	Wanfang & CNKI	2011	9086	6~12	Shanghai	$SE \leq -0.75 D$	3040/6724
$Wu^{[34]}(2012)$	Wanfang	2011-2012	1922	6-13	Kara may	SE≤-0.50D	419/1298
Bai et al <sup>[35]</sup> (2012)	Wanfang & CNKI	2009	1590	Grade1-6	Nanjing	$SE \leq -0.50D$	241/1590
Ou <sup>[36]</sup> (2013)	CNKI	-	52536	6-13	Chenzhou	$SE \leq -0.50D$	6128/27152
Luo et $al^{[37]}(2013)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2009-2012	4276	7-12	Baotou	SE≤-0.50D	638/4267
Gui et $al^{[38]}(2013)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2011-2013	94963	6-13(Grade1-6)	Wuhu	SE≤- 0.75D	14983/53443
Wu et $al^{[39]}(2013)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2011-2012	4834	7 and 12	Liuzhou	SE≤-0.50D	1345/4834
Liu et $al^{[40]}(2013)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2011-2012	37700	Grade 1–6	Cixian	SE≤- 0.25D	5534/19518
$Gao^{[41]}(2013)$	Wanfang & CNKI	2011	1880	Grade 1–6	Chongqing	$SE \leq -0.50D$	575/1880
Li et $al^{[42]}(2013)$	PubMed	2011-2012	2893	7.1(5.7-9.3)	Anyang	$SE \leq -0.50D$	113/2893
Wu et $al^{[43]}(2013)$	PloS one	2011-2012	6364	4-18(6-13)	Guanxian	$SE \leq -0.50D$	1501/4602

SE: Spherical equivalent; n: number of events (myopia); N: Total number of primary school students from the included studies; "In this study, the criteria were used: SE≤-0.50D, SE≤-1.00D, SE≤-1.50D, SE≤-2.00D; <sup>b</sup>5 Provinces included Zhejiang, Yunnan, Shandong, Qinghai, and Shanghai.

of myopia among primary school students by excluding the included studies one by one.

#### RESULTS

Characteristics of the Studies A total of 1 252 potentially relevant articles were identified. Of these, 958 were excluded after reading the titles and abstracts because of duplications (338 articles) and obvious irrelevance (620 articles). Three review articles and 2 articles with data duplication were excluded. Thirty articles were excluded because of the study sites, 8 articles were excluded for small sample sizes, and 116 articles were excluded because the study populations were not primary school students. In addition, 30 articles were excluded because they did not provide information on the prevalence of myopia or it was not possible to extract information on primary school students. There were 37 articles remaining after the quality assessment (Figure 1). Table 1 shows the characteristics of 37 articles, which covered 21 provinces in mainland China. The prevalence of myopia and

C 1		Prevalence of	n/N	N C · l'	Heterogeneitytest		
Subgroup		myopia (%)	n/ 1v	No. of studies –	Q	$I^{2}(\%)$	Р
Region	Western China	32.2(15.4,53.3)	12894/26297	8	1.0	50.0	<0.001
	Central China	12.8(9.4,17.3)	23715/100819	6	1.0	49.9	<0.001
	Eastern China	28.5(22.4,35.5)	36533/118132	24	1.0	50.0	<0.001
	Overall	26.5(21.8,31.7)	73142/245248	37ª	1.0	50.0	<0.001
Grade	Grade 1(6-8)	8.4(5.0,13.8)	2709/16708	18	1.0	49.8	<0.001
	Grade 2(8-9)	16.8(10.1,26.8)	2811/9639	17	1.0	49.8	<0.001
	Grade 3(9-10)	26.2(17.1,37.9)	3387/9159	17	1.0	49.8	<0.001
	Grade 4(10-11)	35.0(25.8,45.4)	5456/12003	18	1.0	49.8	<0.001
	Grade 5(11-12)	44.5(33.1,56.5)	4901/9728	17	1.0	49.8	< 0.001
	Grade 6(12-14)	57.4(45.5,68.6)	9700/14406	16	1.0	49.8	<0.001
	Overall	27.5(23.2,32.3)	28964/71643	$20^{ m b}$	1.0	49.8	<0.001
Published year	Before 2005	28.3(20.4,37.8)	6281/18188	7	1.0	49.9	<0.001
	2006-2010	28.6(16.7,44.4)	28634/85527	13	1.0	50.0	<0.001
	2011-2013	24.3(21.3,27.6)	38227/141533	17	1.0	49.9	<0.001
	Overall	26.5 (21.8,31.7)	73142/245248	37	1.0	50.0	<0.001
Criteria	$SE \leq -0.25D$	21.8(14.7,31.1)	6815/24756	4	1.0	49.8	<0.001
	SE<-0.50D	22.8(11.1,41.1)	4662/18855	4	1.0	50.0	< 0.001
	$SE \leq -0.50D$	23.0(17.4,29.7)	25018/108968	21	1.0	49.9	< 0.001
	$SE \leq -0.75D$	35.2(26.3,45.3)	20735/68856	5	1.0	49.9	< 0.001
	$Other^{\circ}$	43.0(24.5,63.8)	15912/23813	4	1.0	50.0	< 0.001
	Overall	26.5 (21.8,31.7)	73142/245248	$38^{d}$	1.0	50.0	< 0.001

Table 2 Stratified Meta-analyses of myopia prevalence among primary school student in mainland China

<sup>a</sup>One study cover 5 provinces, including Zhejiang, Shandong, Shanghai (Eastern China), and Qinghai, Yunnan (Western China); <sup>b</sup>Not all studies give the information of all grades; <sup>c</sup>The other criteria included  $SE \le -1.00D$ ,  $SE \le -2.00D$ ,  $SE \le -3.00D$ ,  $SE \le -0.50D$  and other criteria, including  $SE \le -1.00D$ ,  $SE \le -1.50D$ ,  $SE \le -2.00D$ .

95% CIs among primary school students were calculated separately for each study, and the sample sizes and published years were also presented (Figure 2).

Meta-analysis Results The prevalence of myopia and 95% CIs of all included studies are shown in Figure 2. The provinces and geographic regions of the studies included; 2 studies in Beijing municipality, 1 study in Fujian Province, 4 studies in Guangdong Province, 1 study in Hebei Province, 2 studies in Jiangsu Province, 5 studies in Shanghai, 3 studies in Zhejiang Province, 1 study in Guangxi Province and 5 studies in Shandong Province in eastern China; 1 study in Anhui Province, 2 studies in Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, 1 study in Henan Province, 1 study in Hunan Province and 1 study in Jiangxi Province in central China; 2 studies in Chongqing, 1 study in Qinghai Province, 2 studies in Shanxi Province, 1 study in Sichuan Province, 1 study in Gansu Province, 1 study in Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region and 1 study in Yunnan Province in western China. According to the forest plot, the 95% confidence interval line for all included studies does not intersect the invalid line (horizontal axis is 0), all included studies were statistically significant.

The pooled prevalence among primary school students was 26.5% (95% CI: 21.8% -31.7%). The pooled prevalence was 32.2% (15.4% -53.3%) in western China, 12.8% (9.4% -17.3%) in central China and 28.5% (22.4% -35.5%) in eastern China, respectively (Table 2).

Table 2 demonstrates the subgroup analysis of pooled prevalence of myopia among different subgroups. The pooled prevalence with 95% CI increased from 8. 4% (5. 0% – 13. 8%) in Grade 1 to 57. 4% (45. 5% –68. 6%) in Grade 6. The pooled prevalence in studies conducted before 2005 was 28. 3% (20. 4% –37. 8%), 28. 6% (16. 7% –44. 4%) in 2006–2010, and 24. 3% (21. 3% –27. 6%) in 2011 – 2013. Table 2 also demonstrates the prevalence of myopia with different diagnostic criteria. The pooled prevalence was 21. 8% (14. 7% –31. 1%) with SE  $\leq$  –0. 25D, 22. 8% (11. 1% –41. 1%) with SE  $\leq$  –0. 50D, 35. 2% (26. 3% –45. 3%) with SE  $\leq$  –0. 75D, 43. 0% (24. 5% –63. 8%) based on other criteria.

Sensitivity analyses were performed by excluding studies one by one to estimate the pooled prevalence of myopia among primary school students in mainland China (Figure 3). The pooled prevalence showed similar results with no statistically significant differences. Figure 4 shows that the included studies are roughly funnel – shaped distribution, suggesting that publication bias can be ignored.

#### DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first Meta-analysis to estimate the pooled prevalence of myopia among primary school students in mainland China. In this Meta-analysis, the prevalence of myopia among primary school students in mainland China reported in studies over the last three decades

Sun (1981) 1 Wang (1999) 6 Zhao (2002) 6	N 1654 —	<u> </u>	Confidence Interval 0.270 (0.222, 0.323)
Wang (1999) 6 Zhao (2002) 6		<u> </u>	0 270 (0 222 0 323)
Zhao (2002)			0.210 (0.222, 0.323)
	6992	<u> </u>	0.260 (0.213, 0.313)
	6415 —	<u> </u>	0.262 (0.215, 0.316)
Chen (2003) 3	3261	<u> </u>	0.262 (0.215, 0.316)
Zhong (2004) 8	819 —	<u> </u>	0.263 (0.216, 0.316)
He (2004) 3	3724 —	-	0.265 (0.217, 0.319)
Sun (2005) 1	1604		0.267 (0.220, 0.321)
Shi (2006) 3	3380	<u> </u>	0.259 (0.213, 0.312)
Dong (2007) 1	13232 —		0.268 (0.220, 0.321)
Shi (2007) 3	3325 —		0.255 (0.210, 0.307)
Li (2008) 1	16192	<u> </u>	0.261 (0.213, 0.316)
Yang (2008) 1	1685 —	<u> </u>	0.273 (0.225, 0.326)
Ren (2009) 3	3162	- I	0.260 (0.213, 0.313)
Wang (2009) 1	19902 —		0.272 (0.227, 0.323)
Xia (2010) 4	4028		0.268 (0.220, 0.322)
	10518		0.252 (0.209, 0.299)
	2641		0.269 (0.221, 0.323)
	2467 —	<u> </u>	0.266 (0.219, 0.320)
Xie (2010) 2	2423 —		0.269 (0.221, 0.322)
	2405 —	<u> </u>	0.271 (0.223, 0.324)
	2746 —		0.269 (0.221, 0.323)
	2507	<u> </u>	0.269 (0.221, 0.323)
	19784		0.256 (0.214, 0.302)
	3764		0.255 (0.210, 0.307)
	6706		0.265 (0.218, 0.319)
	872 —		0.265 (0.218, 0.319)
	1533 —	<u> </u>	0.263 (0.216, 0.317)
	1291 —	T	0.269 (0.221, 0.323)
	1009	<u> </u>	0.260 (0.214, 0.313)
	871		0.264 (0.217, 0.318)
	800 —	1	0.267 (0.220, 0.321)
	760 —	I	0.269 (0.221, 0.323)
	3200		0.260 (0.214, 0.313)
	9764		0.261 (0.214, 0.313)
	1717 —	1	0.263 (0.216, 0.317)
	1831 —	1	0.268 (0.220, 0.321)
	6103	I.	0.263 (0.216, 0.317)
	33280	1	0.266 (0.216, 0.322)
	4905	I	0.268 (0.220, 0.322)
	68426	1	0.264 (0.212, 0.322)
	3006 —		0.264 (0.212, 0.325)
	6179 —		0.275 (0.227, 0.328)
	25052	1	
	25052 <u> </u>	1	0.264 (0.215, 0.320)
Gao (2013) 2 Overall	2400		0.264 (0.216, 0.317)
Overall	. —		0.265 (0.218, 0.317)
			_

Leave-One-Out Meta-Analysis

Figure 3 Sensitivity analysis of the included studies.



Figure 4 The funnel plot of the 37 included studies.

was pooled. A total of 37 studies with more than 240 000 individuals were included, and the pooled prevalence of

Int Eye Sci, Vol. 1	6, No.7, Jul.	2016	http <sub>:</sub> //ies. ijo. cn
Tel;029-82245172	82210956	Email	:IJO. 2000@163. com

myopia was 26. 5% (95% CI: 21. 8% - 31.7%). The evidence indicates an increasing trend in the prevalence of myopia with grades among primary school students.

The prevalence of myopia is increasing yearly worldwide<sup>[44]</sup>. Many studies have shown a higher prevalence of myopia among primary school students, particularly in Asia<sup>[45-46]</sup>. The prevalence of myopia among primary school students in mainland China was similar with that reported in the literature, which were conducted in Asia<sup>[47-49]</sup>. However, the prevalence of myopia in our study was much higher than that in western countries, such as the United Kingdom, Australia and South Africa<sup>[50-52]</sup> (see Table 3 for details). In the USA, Asians have been found to have a higher prevalence of myopia than Hispanics, Caucasian and African Americans, suggesting that ethnicity is a factor influencing the prevalence of myopia<sup>[51]</sup>. Some studies have indicated that the more intensive schooling systems in Asia might also be an independent risk factor for myopia<sup>[53-55]</sup>.

Stratified analyses were used in this study in terms of regions, age, published years of studies and diagnostic criteria. Most of the individuals came from eastern or central China. However, the prevalence of myopia was highest in western China and lowest in central China. The difference might be explained by the following considerations: the limited number of studies in western and central China, the different study facilities and life customs, the limited sample size in western China, and inconsistent diagnostic criteria. For instance, the bad learning environment in western China and heavy academic burden in eastern China might be contributable to this difference<sup>[56-57]</sup>. Therefore, further research should be conducted to investigate these potential associations. The prevalence of myopia is increasing with age among primary school students, and this finding is consistent with results in other countries, and the natural history of myopia<sup>[45,51]</sup>. The prevalence of myopia among children in Grade 6 (12-14y)was 7 times greater than that among children in Grade 1 (6-8y). This finding might be related to the growing academic burden, increased use of electronic devices and insufficient outdoor activities<sup>[58-59]</sup>. The prevalence of myopia based on the SE  $\leq$  -0. 25D and SE <-0. 50D criteria in the included studies was obviously lower than that based on other criteria. In addition, various measurements for adolescent myopia prevention have been taken recently by governments, relevant agencies and schools. For instance, Opinion of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China and State Council on strengthening the physical education of teenagers, a policy of China, has been implemented in 2007 and one of its primary objectives is to significantly decrease the incidence of myopia among adolescents. In fact, the prevalence of myopia for adolescents between 2011 and 2013 was lower than before. It is important to consider to what extent the results of studies are consistent. A statistic  $(I^2)$  was used to quantify inconsistency in this study and  $I^2 \leq 50.0\%$  which indicates that the heterogeneity of the analysis was moderate<sup>[60]</sup>.</sup> Therefore, the random-effects analysis was selected to estimate

Table 3 Prevalence of myopia among primary school students in other countries	Table 3	Prevalence of	myopia among	primary school	l students in other countries
---	---------	---------------	--------------	----------------	-------------------------------

Author(a)	Study site	Myopia diagnostic criteria	Prevalence of myopia
Dirani et al <sup>[49]</sup> (2010)	Singapore	SE≤-0.50D	7a: 29.0%; 8a: 34.7%; 9a: 53.1%
Fan <i>et al</i> <sup>[47]</sup> (2003)	Hong Kong	SE≤-0.50D	7a: 28.9% ;8a: 37.5% ;9a: 43.1% ;10a 48.2% ;≥11a: 53.1%
Lin et $al^{[48]}(2004)$	Taiwan	$SE \leq -0.25D$	7a: 20.0%;12a: 61.0%
Ip <i>et al</i> <sup>[51]</sup> (2008)	Australia	SE≤-0.50D	6a: 1.4%;12a: 11.9%
Logan <i>et al</i> <sup>[52]</sup> (2011)	England	SE≤-0.50D	6-7a: 2.8%;12-13a: 17.7%
Naidoo <i>et al</i> <sup>[50]</sup> (2003)	South Africa	SE≤-0.50D	6a: 4.6%;7a: 2.5%;8a: 2.9%;9a: 3.1%; 10a: 1.9%;11a: 4.4%;12a: 4.4%;13a: 3.4%

the pooled prevalence. More than 240 000 individuals were included in this Meta-analysis, and the statistical power was greatly improved. However, Meta – regression was not performed because of the study design of only reporting the pooled prevalence.

The results of this study should be interpreted in light of some limitations. First, subgroup analysis by gender was not performed because of insufficient information among the included studies. Second, although strict inclusion and exclusion criteria were used to identify studies in the literature, measurement errors was inevitable among included and excluded studies, which could affect the pooled prevalence. Third, there were great disparities in research resources among eastern, central and western China, so the investigation bias may affect the Meta–analysis results.

In conclusion, the pooled prevalence of myopia was 26.5% among primary school students in mainland China, and it was much higher than that of western countries or regions. The prevalence of myopia increased with age among primary school students, and more studies should be conducted in mainland China to investigate causative factors. Our results should be valuable to clinicians, researchers and policy – makers for myopia prevention and treatment in mainland China.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 He M, Zeng J, Liu Y. Refractive error and visual impairment in urban children in southern China. *Invest Ophthalmol & Vis Sci* 2004;45(03): 793–799
- 2 Morgan IG, Ohno-Matsui K, Saw SM. Myopia. Lancet 2012; 9827 (379): 1739-1748
- 3 Saw SM, Katz J, Schein OD, Chew SJ, Chan TK. Epidemiology of myopia. *Epidemiolc Rev* 1996;18(2):175-187

4 Pan CW, Ramamurthy D, Saw SM. Worldwide prevalence and risk factors for myopia. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2012;32(1):3-16

5 Study Group on Physical Fitness and Health Research of Chinese School Students. Reports on the physical fitness and health research of Chinese school students: *Higher Education Press* 2012

6 Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;327(7414): 557-560

7 Sun YZ, Hua MQ, Gao SS, Bai ZJ, Sun GH. The visual survey of primary and secondary school students in Yishui County. *Journal of Shandong Medical College* 1981;(2):193-201

8 Wang G, Tao CM, Ye CH, Chen CL, He T, Lin H, Wen Q. The refractive status and related factors of poor eyesight primary school students in urban Wenzhou. *Journal of Optometry* 1999;1(2):72-74

9 Zhao J, Mao J, Luo R, Li F, Munoz SR, Ellwein LB. The progression of refractive error in school-age children: Shunyi district, China. Am J

Ophthalmol 2002;134 (5):735-743

10 Chen L, Huang LN, Gu XQ, Cheng HB, Li QH, Huang XJ, Lai XL. The reason of low vision and vision of secondary and primary school students in Shenzhen. *Chinese Journal of Strabismus & Pediatric Ophthalmology* 2003;11(2):73-75

11 Zhong P, Wang KH, Zhang CH, Zhou B. Survey on epidemiology of myopia in 612 pupils. *Practical Journal of Medicine & Pharmacy* 2004; 21(6):543-545

12 He M, Zeng J, Liu Y, Xu J, Pokharel GP, Ellwein LB. Refractive error and visual impairment in urban children in southern China. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* 2004;45(3):793-799

13 Sun ZH, Luo ZW, Liang Q, Ju B. Refractive status of primary school students in Haidao school. *Chinese Journal of Practical Ophthalmology* 2005;23(8):785-786

14 Shi YN, Feng D, Du XK, He T, Sun H. Cross – sectional epidemiological survey of dynamic refractive status in 7–9 primary school students in Xi'an in 2004. *International Eye Science* 2006;6(6):1460–1463

15 Dong XJ, Jia XR, Zhang XW, Liu FL. Visual acuity and refractive Investigation of Han and Mongolia secondary and primary school students in Tong Liao. *Chinese Journal of Strabismus & Pediatric Ophthalmology* 2007;15(1):38-41

16 Shi YN, Feng D, Du XK, Sun H. Cross-sectional epidemiological survey of dynamic refractive status in grade 4–6 primary school students in Xi'an in 2004. *International Eye Science* 2007;7(1):224–227

17 Li SZ, Rao XY, Yan SQ. The refractive state of primary and secondary school students in Town of Dongguan City, Guangdong Province. *The Chinese Journal of Primary Medicine and Pharmacy* 2008; 15 (7):1169–1170

18 Ren YL. Study on students with myopia at school in Zhongshan city. *Sun Yat-sen University* 2008.

19 Yang CL, Yang G, Yang J, Tao Z. The visual survey of 3–10 year-old children in Yichun. *Journal of Yichun College* 2008;30(6):62–63, 67

20 Wang ZY, Shi AY. The Survey and Analysis on incidence of Juvenile myopia in Fuan . *Qiqihar Medical College* 2009;30(5):582-583

21 Xia W, Gong LH, Zhang XY, Zhang AH, Miao L. Investigation and analysis of myopia in Shanghai Qingpu District. *International Eye Science* 2010;10(11):2157-2158

22 Fan Z, Wang ZQ, Zhang QQ, Li HM, Zhang JP, Yang SL. Investigate the static refraction condition among primary and secondary students with low vision in Gansu Province. *China Strabismus & Pediatric Ophthalmology* 2010;18(2):79–83

23 Shen B. Analysis on refractive error and related factors of 7-14 years old students in Luwan District. *Fudan University* 2010

24 Sun Y. Survey on prevalence of myopia and related risk factors of primary and secondary school students in Xuhui. *Fudan University* 2010 25 Pi LH, Chen L, Liu Q, Ke N, Fang J, Zhang S, Xiao J, Ye WJ, Xiong Y. Refractive status and prevalence of refractive errors in suburban

school-age children. Int J Med Sci 2010;7(6): 342-353

- 26 Xie HL, Xie ZK, Ye J, Yang XJ, Qu J. The myopia prevalence and associated factors analysis in China juvenile. *Chinese Medical Journal* 2010;90(7); 439-442
- 27 Xiong Y. The survey on prevalence of myopia and eye habits of primary and secondary school students in Baoshan District. *Fudan University* 2011
- 28 Guo JL. Study on refractive error and myopic related factors among primary and middle school students in Lixia district in Jinan. *Shandong University* 2011
- 29 Gao W, Sun JS, Guo L. The visual status and related factors of primary and secondary school students in Lianyungang. *International Eye Science* 2011;11(4):631-632.
- 30 Zhu J, Mou ZB, Chen L, Ye QL, Zhao JH, Xie AR, Fu F, Huang X, Zhang T, Xiong JH. Epidemiological survey on school children refractive status in 7–15primary and secondary school students in urban Chengdu. *Aerospace Medicine* 2011;22(6):660–662
- 31 Guo Y, Liu LJ, Xu L, Lv YY, Tang P, Feng W, Meng M. Myopia prevalence and associated risk factors of grade 1 and grade 4 primary school students in limited Beijing urban and rural school . *Ophthalmology* 2012;21(2): 127–131
- 32 Ma N. Myopia prevalence and associated light environment in primary and secondary school students. *Zhejiang University* 2012
- 33 Chen L. The study of refractive development status and longitudinal changes of children and juveniles in Shanghai. *Fudan University* 2012
- 34 Wu HC. Prevalence and risk factors of myopia among different nationalities students in Kara may city. *Shihezi University* 2012
- 35 Bai J, Yao C, Feng LW, Li L, Zhang PB. The visual and myopic status of primary school students in Nanjing Yuhua District. *Chinese Journal of Child Health Care* 2012;20(2):174–176
- 36 Ou YL. The investigation and analysis of Chenzhou Youth eyesight. Nanhua University 2013
- 37 Luo LQ, Zheng LM, Du PC, Zhang L, Yang JH. A survey on visual and refractive status of urban schoolchildren. *Guide of China Medicine* 2013;(6):105-107
- 38 Gui XZ, Wang L, Jiang MH, Gao JP. Myopia Investigation and Analysis of secondary and primary school students in Wuhu. *Anhui Medical Journal* 2013;34(9):1393-1395
- 39 Wu XX, Li HP, Hu QM, Liu HB, Du JJ. A survey on visual and refractive status of 7, 12 years old children in Liuzhou, Guangxi province. *Guangxi Medical Journal* 2013;(10):1389–1391
- 40 Liu XJ, Lv HZ, Miao JJ, Li XM, Wang ZG, Li QX. Analysis of refractive state of adolescents aged between 7 to 18 years old in Ci County. *International Eye Science* 2013;13(11):2362-2364
- 41 Gao F. Myopia and influencing factors among pupils in Chongqing. *Third Military Medical University* 2013
- 42 Li SM, Liu LR, Li SY, Ji YZ, Fu J, Wang Y, Li H, Zhu BD, Yang Z, Li L, Chen W, Kang MT, Zhang FJ, Zhan SY, Wang NL, Mitchell P; Anyang Childhood Eye Study Group. Design, Methodology and Baseline Data of a School-based Cohort Study in Central China: The Anyang Childhood Eye Study. *Ophthalmic epidemiology* 2013;20(6): 348-359
- 43 Wu JF, Bi HS, Wang SM, Hu YY, Wu H, Sun W, Lu TL, Wang XR, Jonas JB. Refractive Error, Visual Acuity and Causes of Vision Loss in Children in Shandong, China. The Shandong Children Eye Study. *PloS one* 2013; 8(12): e82763

44 Seet B, Wong TY, Tan DT, Saw SM, Balakrishnan V, Lee LK, Lim AS. Myopia in Singapore: taking a public health approach. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2001;85(5):521-526

45 Huang JY, Ni XQ, Mo YY. Investigation on myopia prevalence in 7 National primary and secondary school students in Gunagxi. *Guangxi Medical College* 1983;(1):53-57

46 Lu SX, Qi YC. Investigation and analysis on visual acuity in One hundred thousand primary and secondary school students. *Anqing Medicine* 1996; (1):26

47 Fan DS, Lam DS, Lam RF, Lau JT, Chong KS, cheung EY. Prevalence, incidence, and progression of myopia of school children in Hong Kong. *Invest Ophthalmol & Vis Sci* 2004;45(4):1071-1075

48 Lin LL, Shih YF, Hsiao CK, Chen CJ. Prevalence of myopia in Taiwanese schoolchildren: 1983 to 2000. *Ann-Acad Med Singap* 2004; 33(1): 27-33

49 Dirani M, Chan YH, Gazzard G, Hornbeak DM, Leo SW, Selvaraj P, Zhou B, Young TL, Mitchell P, Varma R, Wong TY, Saw SM. Prevalence of refractive error in Singaporean Chinese children: the strabismus, amblyopia, and refractive error in young Singaporean Children (STARS) study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010;51(3):1348–1355

50 Naidoo KS, Raghunandan A, Mashige KP, Covender P, Holden BA, Pokharel GP. Refractive error and visual impairment in African children in South Africa. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2003;44(9):3764-3770

51 Ip JM, Huynh SC, Robaei D, Kifley A, Rose KA, Morgan IG, Wang JJ, Mitchell P. Ethnic differences in refraction and ocular biometry in a population-based sample of 11 - 15-year-old Australian children. *Eye* (*Lond*) 2008;22(5): 649-656

52 Logan NS, Shah P, Rudnicka AR, Gilmartin B, Owen CG. Childhood ethnic differences in ametropia and ocular biometry: the Aston Eye Study. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2011;31(5):550-558

53 Kleinstein RN, Jones LA, Hullett S, Kwon S, Lee RJ, Friedman NE, Manny RE, Mutti DO, Yu JA, Zadnik K. Refractive error and ethnicity in children. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2003;121(8): 1141–1147

54 Teasdale TW, Goldschmidt E. Myopia and its relationship to education, intelligence and height. Preliminary results from an on-going study of Danish draftees. *Acta Ophthalmol Suppl* 1988;185:41-43

55 Williams SM, Sanderson GF, Share DL, Silva PA. Refractive error, IQ and reading ability: a longitudinal study from age 7 to 11. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1988;30(6):735-742

56 Weizhong L, Zhikuan Y, Wen L, Xiang C, Jian G. A longitudinal study on the relationship between myopia development and near accommodation lag in myopic children. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2008;28 (1):57–61

57 Rose KA, Morgan IG, Smith W, Burlutsky G, Mitchell P, Saw SM. Myopia, lifestyle, and schooling in students of Chinese ethnicity in Singapore and Sydney. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2008;126(4):527-530

58 Li SM, Ji YZ, Wu SS, Zhan SY, Wang B, Liu LR, Li SY, Wang NL, Wang JJ. Multifocal Versus Single Vision Lenses Intervention to Slow Progression of Myopia in School-age Children: A Meta-analysis. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2011;56(5):451-460

59 Saw SM, Cheng A, Fong A, Gazzard G, Tan DT, Morgan I. School grades and myopia. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2007;27(2):126-129

60 Higgins JPT, Green S. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Version 5. 1. 0 [ updated March 2011 ]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011